

# Response of growth, yield and quality of maize to the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium and spraying with the potassium humate

### Sammar.K.H. Aljoubory\* and Mahmood N. Hussein Al-Yasari

Field Crops Department, Agriculture College, University of Kerbala, Karbala, Iraq \* Corresponding author's email: swmraljbwry30@gmail.com https://doi.org/10.59658/jkas.v10i3.1244

<b>Received:</b>	Abstract
Aug. 2, 2023	The split-plot experiment was carried out in one of the agricultural fields. Al Hussainiva district in the holy city of Kerbala for the fall
	season 2022, by planting the maize. Sumer cultivar was planted in
Accepted:	a soil with clay loam texture. The experiment comprising two factors, and the first factor was the combinations of different levels of nitro
Aug. 28, 2023	gen (0, 150 and 300 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) were identified with symbols, $N_0$ , $N_1$ .
	and $N_2$ , respectively, Three levels of potassium (0, 80 and 160 kg K
	ha <sup>-1</sup> ) were symbolized as $K_0$ , $K_1$ , and $K_2$ , respectively, the second fac-
	tor was spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate at two
Published:	concentrations (1 and 2) g $L^{-1}$ , the concentrations of potassium humate
Sept. 10, 2023	were occupied the main plots, while the fertilizer combinations of ni-
	cording to the randomized complete block design (RCBD) The results
	showed a significant effect of adding fertilizer combinations of nitro-
	gen and potassium and spraying with the organic nutrient potassium
	humate $(2 \text{ g } \text{L}^{-1})$ individually and overlapping in most growth, yield
	and quality traits, as the overlapping showed a significant superiority
	in increasing of leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> ), stem diameter (mm), leaf chlo-
	rophyll index (SPAD unit), ear weight (g), plant yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) and
	protein yield (g plant <sup>2</sup> ), the interaction between the two study factors
	there was no significant difference between the fertilizer combina-
	tions of nitrogen and potassium $(150N + 80K)$ and $(300N + 160K)$
	Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> , with a concentration of 2 gmL <sup>-1</sup> of potassium humate.
	Keywords: Maize (Zea mays L.). Fertilizer combination. nitrogen
	and potassium, potassium humate, spraying.

#### Introduction

Zea mays L. belongs to the Poaceae family, and it is one of the important grain crops that is widely cultivated all over the world [1]. It is considered one of the strategic crops, as it comes second after wheat in terms of the cultivated area, and it comes in the first place in the rate of grain produced for use in human and animal nutrition, as



well as in various industrial fields [2]. Due to the high yield rate and fodder value of grains, stems and leaves, they are desirable and of great importance in many countries [3]. It is expected that the demand for the Maize crop will increase by 2050 [4]. The increase in the population all over the world, which is likely to reach (9.7) billion people by 2050 [5]. The decrease in food shortages, has introduced high-yielding varieties that are characterized by depleting fertilizer (NPK), which led to the need to provide fertilizers at high rates to increase food production, especially in developing countries and the low yield has led to the emergence of a global problem as a result of unbalanced fertilization and the wrong use of agricultural practices [6]. Mineral fertilization has a major role in increasing the nutrient readiness (NPK) of the shoot, as it works to increase the total grain yield [7]. Maize is considered one of the most responsive field crops to the addition of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium fertilizers, as it is affected by several genetic, environmental and climatic factors [8]. Maize production is affected by poor soil fertility, which requires the addition of fertilizers to meet the crop's need for nutrients [9].Nitrogen and potassium are essential nutrients for field crops, which have many effects on plants, such as their effect on the process of carbon metabolism, activation of enzymes, production of protein and nucleic acids, and they are the most important molecules in the plant cell, and they work to resist diseases and insects [10]. As the amount needed by the plant varies according to the type and variety of the plant, as well as the stage of plant growth, and the quality of the grains formed [11]. The use of organic fertilizers is considered one of the alternative methods to increase vegetative growth and increase the yield of maize and other types of crops [12]. Organic nutrients are of great importance to plant growth because it contains elements useful to the plant that contribute to the formation of amino acids that enter into the formation of proteins and regulate osmotic pressure and increase plant resistance to stress conditions such as plants grown under salinity pressure as well as increase their water retention as well as stimulate crop growth and increase the absorption of nutrients and enhance plant resistance to stress conditions [13, 11].

Potassium humate is considered to have a major role in increasing the process of carbon metabolism, reducing the activity of antioxidant enzymes (Peroxidase and Catalase), biosynthesis and ascorbic acid, In additio to the synthesis of proteins and carbohydrates in the produced grains, stimulating crop growth, increasing the absorption of nutrients, and enhancing plant resistance to stress conditions [14]. It was showed [15] that spraying with potassium humate on maize at a concentration of 3 g L<sup>-1</sup> gave a significant increase in the traits of 1000 grain weight, grain yield and biological yield, which amounted to 24.4 g, 3.58 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, 8.49 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> compared with the comparison treatment that gave the lowest average for these traits amounted to 15.3 g, 2.31 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, 5.8 2 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Due to the importance of maize and the increasing demand for it and for a high quality and safer food, this study aims to reduce the amount of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers by overlapping with spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate to find the best fertilizer combination with a positive effect on the growth, yield and quality of maize.



### Materials and Methods Executing the experiment

The experiment of split- plot panels was carried out in one of the agricultural fields in Ibn Al-Bitar Vocational High School / Al-Husseiniya District in the Holy Karbala, affiliated to the Directorate of Education in the Holy Province of Karbala for the tumn season 2022, by planting the Maize crop of Sumer cultivar was planted in a soil with clay loam texture.

**Table (1):** Chemical and physical properties of the study soil at a depth of 0-30 cm before peanut planting.

Propertie	es	Values
pH		7.2
EC (1:1)	)	2.18 ds m <sup>-1</sup>
OM		1.41 g kg <sup>-1</sup>
N available		30.44 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
K availab	le	28.27 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
P availab	le	10.8 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
	Sand	250 G kg <sup>-1</sup>
Soil separators	Silt	360 G kg <sup>-1</sup>
	Clay	390 G kg <sup>-1</sup>
Texture		Clay loam

### Field preparation and agricultural operations

The experiment included two factors: fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium and spraying with organic nutrient potassium humate, spraying concentrations with organic potassium humate occupied the Main plots, while the fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium filled the sub plots with three replications according to the randomized complete block design (RCBD). The soil of the field was prepared for cultivation by conducting plowing, smoothing and leveling operations, then it was divided into three replicates, each replicate contains 18 experimental units, thus the total number of experimental units was 54 experimental units with dimensions of  $3 \times 3$  $m^2$ , for each experimental unit representing an area of 9  $m^2$ , a space of one metre was left m between the experimental units within one replicate. The experimental unit includes four lines, the rows and plants spacing were kept as 75 cm and 25 cm, respectively, with a plant density of 53333.33 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. The irrigation process took place immediately after the planting process, and the crop continued to be irrigated during the growing season according to the need. The weeding process was carried out manually whenever needed during the season to get rid of the weed plants. The weeding process was carried out manually whenever needed during the season to get rid of the weed plants. Maize, Sumer, was planted in the fall season on 25/6/2022, Fertilization was carried out with mono superphosphate fertilizer (19  $P_2O_5\%$ ) as a source of phosphorus, and the addition was in one batch when planting for all treatments, the first



factor included fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, urea fertilizer (46% N) and potassium sulfate (50%  $K_2O$ ) as sources of nitrogen and potassium respectively, and three levels of nitrogen are (0, 150 and 300) kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and its symbol (N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub> and  $N_2$ ) respectively, and three levels of potassium are (0, 80 and 160) kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> and its symbol (K<sub>0</sub>, K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>) respectively. The fertilizer combinations was added in two batches, the first was after a week to ten days had passed since germination, and the second was added at the beginning of the formation of silk threads. The second factor organic nutrient potassium humate was added spray on the plant, used two concentrations 1 and 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>, and spraying was done in two stages: the first when the plants reach the stage 6-8, true leaves and the second stage when the appearance of male inflorescences, used manual sprayer dorsal capacity of 16 liters to conduct. The spraying process at early morning to avoid high temperatures until complete wetness of the plants, while the comparison treatment was sprayed with distilled water only and the use of diffuser (bright cleaning solution) were sprayed to reduce the surface tension of the water and ensure complete wetness of the leaves in order to increase the efficiency of the spray solution.

pН	9 - 11
Humic and Fulvic	85 %
acid	
Potassium (K <sub>2</sub> O	10 - 12%
Water Solubility	99.8%
Moisture	15%
Appearance	Black Shiny Flakes
Classification	Organic Fertilizer

 Table (2): The composition of the potassium humate

## **Data Collection**

Plant samples were taken from each experimental unit and dried in an electric oven at a temperature of 65 °C for 48 hours, to measure the required traits. [16]. The plant traits studied were calculated from an average of five randomly taken plants and from each experimental unit. The leaf area was calculated at the 100% flowering stage for five plants and its average was extracted according to the following equation: Leaf area = leaf length under the main pin x maximum width of the same leaf x 0.75. The stem diameter was measured at the 100% flowering stage by a Vernier meter up to one mm from the second node on the stem. The average weight of five ears (g) harvested randomly for each experimental unit was taken, 1000 grains were counted manually from the grains of each treatment at random, and weighed with a sensitive electronic scale, and the average was taken. Grain Yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), the yield of one plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated for five plants, then the average was extracted. The protein yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated through the following equation:

**Protein yield = (protein ratio in grain \* yield per plant) \100 [17].** 



The chlorophyll in leaves at the male flowering stage was measured using a digital handheld SPAD-502 Meter in the field directly and for the average of five randomly taken plants and from the two midlines of each experimental unit [18].

### Statistical analysis

The data were collected from the field experiment and the results were statistically analyzed according to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) as per the split-plot design [19]. The least significant difference (LSD<sub>0.05</sub>) test was used to compare and separate the mean differences. The statistics software GenStat12 was employed.

### **Results and Discussion**

According to analysis of variance, Fertilizer combinations, potassium humate organic nutrient Concentrations, and interactions of Fertilizer combinations × potassium humate organic nutrient Concentrations, revealed significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ) differences for the majority of the traits (Table 3).

**Table (3):** Analysis of variance with two factors (potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium), and their interaction for various traits in maize.

Source of variation	d.f	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> )	Stem diam- eter (mm)	Index of chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD).	Ear weight (g)	Weight of 1000 grain (g)	Plant yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein yield in grains (g plant <sup>-1</sup> ).
Replica- tions	2	192139.1	26.45071	159.8683	3711.35	974.273	7707.42	198.4992
Potas- sium humate	1	231542.5*	5.43402*	67.9169*	9989.20*	19.850*	4620.38**	136.8213*
Error A	2	3619.5	0.01154	0.8552	66.89	0.967	0.29	2.5140
Fertilizer Combi- nation	8	251044.6**	11.22295**	41.3217**	8303.98**	4.946 <sup>NS</sup>	6147.46**	168.8753**
Potas- sium humate ×ferti- lizer combina- tion	8	16025.8**	0.21917**	0.8742*	518.02**	1.444 <sup>NS</sup>	237.86**	6.8783*
Error B	32	908.2	0.01418	0.2469	15.68	2.450	19.45	0.5857

## Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>)

The results are shown in table 4, the fertilizing combination  $N_2K_2$  was superior in giving it the highest average leaf area of 4409 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, followed by ( $N_2K_1$ ,  $N_1K_2$ , and  $N_1K_1$ ), which gave (4408.50, 4395.00, and 4393.33) cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while it was less the average leaf area when the control treatment  $N_0K_0$  was 3916.00 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, the addition of the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium had a significant effect on increasing the growth traits. The reason for increasing the leafy



area of the plant may be attributed to the role of nitrogen, which contributed to increasing vegetative growth as well as its role in increasing the meristematic activity of the cells. It also works to increase the carbon metabolism process and prolong its duration and increase the speed of division cells and increased chlorophyll increases the number of leaves and their surface area [20]. In addition, potassium works to delay the aging of the leaves as well as improve the turgor and water potential of the leaves and increase the process of carbon metabolism, as it leads to an increase in cell division and as a result the leaf area increases [21]. This agrees with [22] who found that increasing the levels of potassium fertilizer works to increase the leaf area of the plant.

**Table (4):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Leaf area ( $cm^2 plant^{-1}$ )

Fertilizer	potassium humat (mg l	Means	
combination	1	2	
$N_0K_0$	3911.33	3920.67	3916.00
$N_0K_1$	3963.00	3988.00	3975.50
$N_0K_2$	3992.00	4030.00	4011.00
$N_1K_0$	4001.00	4265.67	4133.33
$N_1K_1$	4320.67	4466.00	4393.33
$N_1K_2$	4325.67	4464.33	4395.00
$N_2K_0$	4013.33	4324.67	4169.00
$N_2K_1$	4348.00	4469.00	4408.50
$N_2K_2$	4346.33	4471.67	4409.00
Means	4135.70	4266.67	
$LSD_{0.05}$ Fertilizer combination = 35.44, $LSD_{0.05}$ Potassium humate			
concentratio	$pn = 70.45$ , $LSD_{0.05}$	$_{5}$ Fc $\times$ Ph Interaction	ons = 60.72

The results are shown in table 4, the spraying of the organic nutrient potassium humate at a concentration of 2 g  $L^{-1}$ , was significantly superior by giving it the highest average for this trait amounted to 4266.67 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the concentration of 1g L<sup>-1</sup> which recorded the lowest average for this trait amounted to 4135.70 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, with an increase of 3.16%, the results show the plant's response to spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate, and it may be due to its positive effect in increasing the plant growth rate, as it contributes to increasing the efficiency of the carbon metabolism process in the plant, which is reflected positively on the vegetative growth, especially the increase in leafy area, in addition to the nutrients that this nutrient contains major (N, P and K) and amino acids, which reflected positively on increasing the growth of the vegetative system by increasing the activation of cell division and their elongation and then widening, especially the leaves, and this in turn leads to an increase in the leaf area, as a result of the activity of humic acid, which is similar to gibberellins [23]. As for the interaction between the fertilizing combinations and the application of the organic nutrient potassium humate in the leaf area, the results of



Table 4, indicated that the treatment ( $N_2K_2 \times \text{concentration 2 g L}^{-1}$ ) achieved the highest interference value for this traits amounting to 4471.67 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly superior to all overlap treatments. Other treatments, except for ( $N_1K_1$ ,  $N_1K_2$ , and  $N_2K_1 \times \text{concentration 2 g L}^{-1}$ ), which amounted to (4466.00, 4464.33, and 4469.00) cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup> sequentially without significant difference, while the treatment recorded ( $N_0K_0 \times \text{concentration 1 g L}^{-1}$ ) the lowest value of overlap was 3911.33 cm<sup>2</sup> Plant<sup>-1</sup>.

### Stem diameter (mm)

It is noted from table 5, that the diameter of the stem has increased significantly with the increase in the levels of mineral fertilization with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, as the fertilizer combination  $N_2K_1$  gave the highest mean diameter of the stem amounted to 22.90 mm, which did not differ significantly from the fertilizer combinations ( $N_1K_1$ ,  $N_1K_2$ , and  $N_2K_2$ ), which amounted to (22.84, 22.86 and 22.88) mm respectively, while it was significantly superior to the rest of the treatments with an increase rate of 20.00% compared to the control treatment  $N_0K_0$ , which amounted to 19.12 mm the reason for the increase in the diameter of the stem may be due to the availability of nitrogen during the growth stages, which leads to the accumulation of carbohydrates and salts, which causes an increase in the expansion of the diameter of the stem [24] and that potassium has a major role in increasing the diameter of the stem because it contributes to the activation of the carbon metabolism process and an increase in the size of cells and their division [11].

The results of table 5, indicated that there was a significant difference in the average diameter of the stem when spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate, as the concentration exceeded 2 g L<sup>-1</sup> and gave the highest average for this traits of 22.02 mm, with an increase of 3.00% compared to the concentration 1g L<sup>-1</sup> which gave the lowest average It reached 21.39 mm. The increase in the diameter of the stem is due to the positive effect of the organic nutrient, which increased the activity and effectiveness of plant enzymes and increased their production, which leads to an increase in the diameter of the stem [25]. As for the interaction between the fertilizer combinations and the application of the organic nutrient potassium humate in the diameter of the stem, the results of table 5, indicated that the treatment (N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> × concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>) achieved the highest interference value for this traits amounted to 23.22 mm, which was significantly superior to all other interaction treatments. Except for the treatments (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> × concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>), which amounted to (23.10, 23.12, and 23.15) mm, respectively, without significant difference, while the treatment (N<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub> × concentration 1g L<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the lowest value of interference. It amounted to 18.94 mm.

**Table (5):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Stem diameter (mm)

Fertilizer combination	potassium hun tion (1	Means
	1	2



Inurnal	of Kerhala	for A grid	cultural <b>S</b>	Sciences	Issue (	3)	Volume	(10)	(2023)
Journar	UI KEI Dala	IUI Agin	cultul al c	beliences	12206 (	5),	volume	(10),	(4043)

$N_0K_0$	18.94	19.30	19.12	
$N_0K_1$	20.01	20.30	20.15	
$N_0K_2$	20.94	21.53	21.24	
$N_1K_0$	21.01	21.67	21.34	
$N_1K_1$	22.58	23.10	22.84	
$N_1K_2$	22.61	23.12	22.86	
$N_2K_0$	21.21	22.81	22.01	
$N_2K_1$	22.58	23.22	22.90	
$N_2K_2$	22.61	23.15	22.88	
Means	21.39	22.02		
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Fertilizer combination = $0.14$ , LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Potassium humate				
concentrati	on = $0.12$ , LSD <sub>0</sub>	$_{.05}\mathrm{Fc} \times \mathrm{Ph}\mathrm{Interact}$	ions = 0.19	

#### Index of chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD unit)

The results shown in table 6, indicate that there is a significant difference in the index of chlorophyll in the leaves of the maize plant when fertilizing with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, as the fertilizer combination  $N_2K_1$  gave the highest average of 46.78 SPAD units, with an increase of 15.00% compared to the comparison treatment  $N_0K_0$  that gave the lowest average for this traits, it was 40.84 SPAD units, followed by, without significant difference, the combinations  $(N_1K_1, N_2K_2, and N_1K_2)$ that gave (46.74, 46.60, and 46.43) SPAD units, respectively. The addition of fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium to the soil increased the available form of them in the soil solution and thus increased the efficiency of their absorption by plant roots, which resulted in an increase in the concentration of nitrogen and potassium in the plant and then increased the content of leaves of chlorophyll, as nitrogen is involved in building and forming chlorophyll, in addition to its role in the formation and construction of amino and fatty acids that are involved in the formation of chloroplasts and the activation of enzymes related to the formation of chlorophyll [26]. As for potassium, it works to increase the percentage of nitrogen in the leaf, which is included in the synthesis of chlorophyll, and therefore it is necessary for the formation of chlorophyll and delaying the aging of leaves through its role in delaying the catabolism of protein and activating the enzyme RUBP, which has a major role in the process of carbon metabolism. Potassium also activates the microelements that work on the survival of an amount of iron to form chlorophyll and activate the enzymes Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase and Hexokinase [27].

**Table (6):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Index of chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD unit)

Fertilizer	potassium hum tion (n	ate concentra- ng L <sup>-1</sup> )	Means
complitation	1	2	
$N_0K_0$	39.81	41.88	40.84



Journal of Kerbala for Agricultural Sciences Issue (3), Volume (10), (2023)

$N_0K_1$	39.95	41.95	40.95	
$N_0K_2$	40.70	41.79	41.24	
$N_1K_0$	44.11	45.42	44.77	
$N_1K_1$	45.06	48.43	46.74	
$N_1K_2$	45.04	47.81	46.43	
$N_2K_0$	43.96	45.87	44.91	
$N_2K_1$	45.43	48.14	46.78	
$N_2K_2$	45.13	48.08	46.60	
Means	43.24	45.48		
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Fertilizer combination = $0.58$ , LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Potassium humate				
concentratio	n = 1.06, LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	$Fc \times Ph$ Interaction	ns = 0.96	

The results are shown in table 6, there is a significant difference in the index of chlorophyll in the leaves of the maize plant when spraying the organic nutrient potassium humate, as the concentration exceeded 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>, giving it the highest mean of 45.48 SPAD units, with an increase of 5.18%, compared to concentration 1g L<sup>-1</sup>, which gave the lowest average of 43.24 SPAD units. Spraying with organic nutrients affects respiration, carbon metabolism, and increases antioxidants, thus preserving the chlorophyll content of leaves from catabolism [28]. The results of Table 6, show that the interaction between the fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium and spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate significantly affected the chlorophyll index in the leaves and the highest average was 48.43 SPAD units when the overlap treatment (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> × concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly superior to all treatments Other interferences except for the treatments ( $N_1K_2$ ,  $N_2K_1$ , and  $N_2K_2 \times$  concentration 2 g  $L^{-1}$ ), which amounted to (47.81, 48.14, and 48.08) mm, respectively, without significant difference, while the treatment recorded ( $N_0K_0 \times \text{concentration 1 g L}^{-1}$ ), The lowest overlap value was 39.81 SPAD units.

#### Ear weight (g)

It is noted from table 7, that the ear weight increased significantly with the increase in the levels of mineral fertilization with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, as the fertilizer combination N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> gave the highest average ear weight of 226.46 g, which did not differ significantly from the fertilizer combinations ( $N_1K_1$ ,  $N_1K_2$ , and  $N_2K_1$ ), which amounted to (222.70, 222.28 and 223.31) g, respectively, while it significantly outperformed the rest of the treatments with an increase of 60.00% compared to the comparison treatment N0K0 which amounted to 141.11 g. Nitrogen and potassium contribute to an increase in ear weight through their effect on the process of carbon metabolism, cell division, an increase in the resulting nutrients and a decrease in competition for them, which leads to a decrease in abortion in flowers and an increase in ear weight [11]. The results were consistent with what was obtained by [29], as they found a significant increase in the ear weight characteristic when nitrogen and potassium were added to the maize crop. It is observed from the results of table 7, that there was a significant difference in the average weight of the ear when spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate, as the concentration exceeded 2



g L<sup>-1</sup> and gave the highest average for this traits amounted to 200.76 g, with an increase of 15.00% compared to the concentration 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>, which gave the lowest average of 173.56 g. Spraying with an organic nutrient contributes to increasing the vital activities of the plant and the absorption of nutrients, which increases the efficiency of the carbon metabolism process, which leads to the accumulation of nutrients in grains and an increase in their size and weight, and thus an increase in ear weight [30]. The results of table 7, showed that the interaction between the two study factors had a significant effect on the increase in ear weight. The treatment (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> × concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>) achieved the highest value of interaction amounting to 250.26 g, which was significantly superior to all other treatments except for (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> × concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>), which amounted to (246.92, 243.57, and 244.71) g, sequentially without significant difference, while the treatment (N<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub> × concentration 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>), recorded the lowest value of interference, amounting to 136.62 g.

**Table (7):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Ear weight (g)

Fortilizon	potassium hu		
rerunzer	tion (	Means	
compiliation	1	2	
$N_0K_0$	136.62	145.60	141.11
N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	143.19	152.78	147.99
N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	147.11	159.03	153.07
$N_1K_0$	152.94	161.48	157.21
$N_1K_1$	195.13	250.26	222.70
$N_1K_2$	197.65	246.92	222.28
$N_2K_0$	178.14	202.52	190.33
$N_2K_1$	203.06	243.57	223.31
$N_2K_2$	208.21	244.71	226.46
Means	173.56	200.76	
$LSD_{0.05}$ Fertilizer combination = 4.65, $LSD_{0.05}$ Potassium humate			
concentrati	on $= 9.57$ , LSD	$_{0.05}\mathrm{Fc} \times \mathrm{Ph}\mathrm{Interact}$	ions = 8.121

## Weight of 1000 grain (g)

It is clear from table 8, that there is no significant difference in the average weight of 1000 grains when fertilizing with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium. As for spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate, the concentration of 2 g  $L^{-1}$ , was significantly higher, giving it the highest average of 141.99 g, with an increase of 1.00%, compared to the concentration of 1 g  $L^{-1}$ , which gave the lowest average of 140.77 g. The reason for the increase is attributed to the significant effect of the organic nutrient potassium humate and what it contains of potassium, humic and fulvic acid, and that spraying it on the leaves led to raising the plant's efficiency in increasing the activity of the carbon metabolism process and in the transfer of sugars from the leaves to other parts of the plant in addition to its role in increasing the absorption of nitrogen,



which it is involved in the formation of proteins and thus increases the nutrients stored in grains and increases their size and weight [28]. As for the overlap, the results indicated that there was no significant difference between the treatments of the fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium and the application of the organic nutrient potassium humate.

**Table (8):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Weight of 1000 grain (g)

Fortilizon	potassium hun		
rerunzer	tion (1	Means	
combination	1	2	
$N_0K_0$	138.31	140.91	139.61
$N_0K_1$	140.13	143.06	141.60
$N_0K_2$	141.63	142.74	142.18
$N_1K_0$	142.80	142.53	142.66
$N_1K_1$	140.08	141.22	140.65
$N_1K_2$	140.97	141.66	141.32
$N_2K_0$	141.51	142.32	141.92
$N_2K_1$	141.25	141.96	141.60
$N_2K_2$	140.28	141.49	140.89
Means	140.77	141.99	
$LSD_{0.05}$ Fertilizer combination = NS, $LSD_{0.05}$ Potassium humate			
concentration =1.15, $LSD_{0.05}$ Fc × Ph Interactions = NS			

## Plant yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>).

The results shown in table 9, that there is a significant difference in the average yield plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), when fertilizing with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, as the fertilizer combination  $N_2K_1$  gave the highest average of 184.53 g plant<sup>-1</sup>. with an increase of 78.00% compared to the comparison treatment  $N_0K_0$  that gave the lowest average for this characteristic, it amounted to 103.84 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, followed by the combinations  $(N_1K_1, N_1K_2, and N_2K_2)$  without significant difference which gave (179.88, 181.84, and 184.41) g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The reason for the increase in grain yield is due to the role of nitrogen and potassium, as nitrogen contributes to an increase in the process of carbon metabolism, which leads to an increase in the formation of chlorophyll, proteins, enzymes, and most growth regulators that have a major role in increasing grain yield [31]. As for potassium, it contributes to an increase in grain yield as a result of increasing the number of grains in the row [32]. The reason for this may be attributed to the formation and transport of carbohydrates and the construction of proteins and amino acids, which leads to an increase in the efficiency of carbon metabolism and as a result, the grain yield increases [33]. The results show that there is a significant difference in the average plant yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), when spraying the organic nutrient potassium humate, as the concentration exceeded 2g L<sup>-1,</sup> giving it the highest average of 159.83 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, with an increase of 13.00%, compared to the concentration 1g L<sup>-1</sup>, which gave the lowest mean of 141.33 g L<sup>-1</sup>. The organic nutrient potassium



humate is characterized by its high content of potassium, humic and fulvic acid, as humic acid inhibits the activity of the enzyme (IAA oxidase), which leads to an increase in the activity of auxin (IAA), which plays a role in stimulating plant and root growth. It is useful that spraying with the organic nutrient leads to its enrichment With the necessary nutrients and increasing the plant's resistance to drought and high temperature to a large extent, it also leads to an increase in the growth of the root group and its improvement and the leafy area of the plant, which is reflected in the performance of the crop, especially the carbon representation and the increase of the represented materials and their transmission to the flowers as they work to increase the percentage of fertility in them and thus increase in the formation of processed food and then its transfer to the grain, which leads to an increase in the grain yield [30].

**Table (9):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Plant yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)

Fertilizer	potassium humate concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		Means	
combination	1	2		
$N_0K_0$	100.50	107.19	103.84	
$N_0K_1$	116.88	125.25	121.06	
$N_0K_2$	124.69	130.63	127.66	
$N_1K_0$	126.06	135.13	130.59	
$N_1K_1$	159.88	199.88	179.88	
$N_1K_2$	167.31	196.38	181.84	
$N_2K_0$	135.31	147.56	141.44	
$N_2K_1$	170.19	198.88	184.53	
$N_2K_2$	171.19	197.63	184.41	
Means	141.33	159.83		
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Fertilizer combination $=5.18$ ,		5.18, $LSD_{0.05}$ Pota	LSD <sub>0.05</sub> Potassium humate	

concentration =0.62,  $LSD_{0.05}$  Fc × Ph Interactions = 6.92

This is consistent with the findings of [35] and [36] a dding nitrogen and potassium increased the growth, productivity, and quality of the various crop plants. The results of Table (9) showed that the interaction between the two factors of the study had a significant effect on increasing the average yield per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), as the treatment ( $N_1K_1 \times \text{concentration 2 g L}^{-1}$ ) achieved the highest value of interaction amounting to 199.88 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, which Significantly superior to all other treatments except for ( $N_1K_2$ ,  $N_2K_1$  and  $N_2K_2 \times \text{concentration 2 g L}^{-1}$ ), which amounted to (196.38, 198.88 and 197.63) g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, without significant difference, while the treatment recorded ( $N_0K_0 \times \text{concentration 1 g L}^{-1}$ ), the lowest interference value was 100.50 g plant<sup>-1</sup>.

Protein yield in grains (g plant<sup>-1</sup>).



It is noted from Table 10, that the protein yield in grains increased significantly with the increase in the levels of mineral fertilization with fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium., as the fertilizer combination N2K2 gave the highest average protein yield amounting to 21.58 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, which did not differ significantly from the fertilizer combinations (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>, N1K2 And N2K1), which amounted to (20.81,21.09 and 21.46) g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while it significantly outperformed the rest of the treatments with an increase of 144.00% compared to the comparison treatment, N0K0, which amounted to 8.86 g plant<sup>-1</sup>. The provision of nitrogen is important in the formation of amino acids through the formation of the amine group, which is the basic material in the formation of protein [11]. The increase in the protein content of grains may be due to an increase in the nitrogen content in the leaves. Cereals for protein production, and the increase in protein content may be due to the fact that nitrogen plays a large role in protein synthesis [37]. Potassium also plays a major role in increasing the percentage of protein in grains because of its positive effect in preserving the activity and effectiveness of leaves and increasing the carbohydrate manufacturing process to ammonia NH<sub>3</sub>, as ammonia is linked with ketone acid to produce amino acids and thus the formation of proteins, and it also enters the process of separating the ribosome from the newly formed protein, which leads to the opportunity to produce a new protein [38] and [39]. The results of table 10, indicated that there was a significant difference in the average protein yield in grains when spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate, as the concentration exceeded 2 g  $L^{-1}$  and gave the highest average for this characteristic amounted to 17.51 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, with an increase of 22.00% compared to the concentration 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>, which was given the lowest average was 14.33 g plant<sup>-1</sup>. As well, spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate increases the concentration of nucleic acids in the plant cell, which are essential for many biochemical processes inside the cell, as the activation of chemical processes leads to an increase in the synthesis of enzymes and an increase in protein and carbohydrate content in grains [14] and [37]. The results of table 10, show that the interaction between fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium and spraying with the organic nutrient potassium humate had a significant effect on the protein yield in grains, and the highest average was 24.25 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, when the overlap treatment ( $N_1K_1 \times \text{concentration 2 g L}^{-1}$ ), which was significantly superior to all overlap treatments. Other treatments except for  $(N_1K_2, N_1K_2)$  $N_2K_1$  and  $N_2K_2 \times$  concentration 2 g L<sup>-1</sup>), which amounted to (23.68, 23.78, and 23.75) g plant<sup>-1</sup>, sequentially without significant difference, while the treatment ( $N_0K_0 \times con$ centration 1 g  $L^{-1}$ ) recorded the lowest value for overlap amounted to 8.40 g plant<sup>-1</sup>.

Fertilizer	potassium humate concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		Means
combination	1	2	
$N_0K_0$	8.40	9.33	8.86
$N_0K_1$	9.86	11.15	10.51

**Table (10):** Effect of spraying with potassium humate and the fertilizer combination of nitrogen and potassium on Protein yield in grains (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)



Journal of Kerbala for Agricultural Sciences Issue (3), Volume (10), (2023)

10.87	11.94	11.40			
11.67	13.43	12.55			
17.37	24.25	20.81			
18.50	23.68	21.09			
13.69	16.28	14.99			
19.14	23.78	21.46			
19.42	23.75	21.58			
14.33	17.51				
$LSD_{0.05}$ Fertilizer combination =0.900, $LSD_{0.05}$ Potassium hu-					
mate concentration = 1.857, $LSD_{0.05}$ Fc × Ph Interactions = 1.572					
	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.87\\ 11.67\\ 17.37\\ 18.50\\ 13.69\\ 19.14\\ 19.42\\ 14.33\\ \begin{array}{r} \text{zer combination}\\ \text{ion} = 1.857, LS \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			

The present results enable us to conclude that spraying organic nutrient potassium humate and fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium, increase vegetative growth, which enhances photosynthesis and thus improves the composition of crop components that lead to an enhancement in the grain yield and quality of maize. The interaction between the spraying organic nutrient potassium humate (2 g L<sup>-1</sup>), and fertilizer combinations of nitrogen and potassium (N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>) was found best responsive in improving the growth, grain yield, and quality of maize, the interaction between the

two study factors contributed to reducing the amount of mineral fertilizer to 50%

#### References

- Ahmed, A.; Sultan, T.; Qadir, G.; Afzal, O.; Ahmed, M.; Shah, S. and Mehmood, M. Z. (2020). Impact assessment of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of maize (Zea mays L.). Pak. J. Agri. Res, 33, 234-246.
- **2**) Orhun,G.E.(2013). Maize for Life . International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering .3(2):13-16.
- 3) Goswami, S. P.; Dubey, A. N.; Chourasia, A.; Laxmi, S. and Singh, D. K. (2019). Water stress and its management strategies on rainfed maize: A review. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(1): 2433-2438.
- **4)** Ramirez-Cabral, N. Y.; Kumar, L. and Shabani, F. (2017). Global alterations in areas of suitability for maize production from climate change and using a mechanistic species distribution model (CLIMEX). Scientific reports, 7(1): 5910.
- 5) Desa, U. (2017). United nations department of economic and social affairs/population division (2009b): World population prospects: The 2008 revision. URL: http://esa. un. org/unpp (gelesen am 16, 2010. accessed: may 19.
- 6) Lira-Saldivar, R. H.;Vázquez-Santiago, E.; Valdez-Aguilar, L. A.; Cárdenas-Flores, A.; IbarraJiménez, L. and Hernández-Suárez, M. (2013). Producción orgánica de pepino (Cucumis sativus L.) en casasombra con biofertilizantes y acolchado plástico. Agricultura Sostenible, 9, 802-815.



- 7) Hasan, B. K. and Saad, T. M. (2020). Effect of Nano biological and mineral fertilizers on NPK uptake in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Indian Journal of Ecology, 47(12): 126-130.
- 8) Al-Moaini, Iyad Hassan (2010). Response of corn to fertilizer, nitrogen and different irrigation periods. Iraqi J. of Agric. Sci. 15 (1):1-10.
- **9**) Nanganoa, L. T.; Ngome, F. A.; Suh, C. and Basga, S. D. (2020). Assessing soil nutrients variability and adequacy for the cultivation of maize, cassava, and sorghum in selected agroecological zones of Cameroon. International Journal of Agronomy, 2020, 1-20.
- **10**) Elmasry, H.M.M.(2021). Partial substitution of maize mineral fertilization with some organic and bio-fertilizers. International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences, 2(2):103-113.
- **11**) Ali, N., Rahi, H. S. and Shaker, A. W. A. (2014). Soil Fertility. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Scientific Books House for printing, publishing and distribution.
- 12) Gao, C.; El-Sawah, A. M.; Ali, D. F. I.; Alhaj Hamoud, Y.; Shaghaleh, H. and Sheteiwy, M. S. (2020). The integration of bio and organic fertilizers improve plant growth, grain yield, quality and metabolism of hybrid maize (Zea mays L.). Agronomy, 10(3): 319.
- **13**) Ameen, M.; Akhtar, J., Sabir, M. and Ahmad, R. (2019). Effect of phosphoric acid and potassium humate on growth and yield of maize in saline-sodic soil. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 56(4).
- 14) Osman, M. E.; Mohsen, A. A.; Elfeky, S. S. and Mohamed, W. (2017). Response of salt-stressed wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) to potassium humate treatment and potassium silicate foliar application. Egyptian Journal of Botany, 57(7th International Conf.), 85-102.
- **15**) Abou Basha, D. M.;Hellal, F. and El Sayed, S. (2021). The combined effect of potassium humate and bio-fertilizers on maize productivity and quality under water stress conditions. Sci. Arch, 2(3): 162-170.
- **16)** AOAC, Horwitz W (1975). Official methods of analysis (Vol. 222). Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- **17**) Al-Mohammadi, F. M. H. (1990). Protected Agriculture, University of Baghdad-Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research.
- 18) Loh, F.; Grabosky, J. and Bassuk, N. (2000). 191 Use of the minolta SPAD-502 to determine chlorophyll concentration in Ficus benjamina L. and Populus deltoides Marsh leaf tissue. HortScience, 35(3): 423D-424.
- **19**) Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.). John wiley and sons, NewYork, 680p.



#### Journal of Kerbala for Agricultural Sciences Issue (3), Volume (10), (2023)

- **20)** Mitra, G. (2017). Essential plant nutrients and recent concepts about their uptake. Essential Plant Nutrients: Uptake, Use Efficiency, and Management, 3-36.
- 21) Hussain, A.; Arshad, M.; Ahmad, Z.; Ahmad, H. T.; Afzal, M. and Ahmad, M. (2014).Potassium fertilization influences growth, physiology and nutrients uptake of maize (Zea mays L.).
- **22**) AL-Zubaidi, N. A. J. and AL-Abassi, A. A. A. (2015). Effect of Foliar Nutrition of Potassium and Chelated Iron in Vegetative Growth Traits of Corn (Zea mays L.) under Drip Irrigation System. Diyala Journal For Pure Science, 11(2).
- 23) Balakumbahan, R. and Ragamani, K. (2010). Effect of bio stimulants on growth and yield of senna (Cassia angustifolia). Balakumbahan, R., and Ragamani, K. (2010). Effect of bio stimulants on growth and yield of senna (Cassia angustifolia). Journal of Horticultural Sciences and Ornamental Plants .2(1):16-18.
- **24**) Al Jobouri, S. M. I. and Arowl, M. A. (2009). Influence of different levels and application dates of nitrogen fertilizer on growth of two corn varieties (Zea Mays L.). Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5(1): 57-72.
- **25**) Awwad, M.; El-Hedek, K.; Bayoumi, M. and Eid, T. (2015). Effect of potassium humate application and irrigation water levels on maize yield, crop water productivity and some soil properties. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, 6(4): 461-482.
- **26**) Suraj, M.; Somangouda, G. and Salakinkop, S. R. (2020). Yield and yield attributes of sweet corn (Zea mays L. saccharate) as influenced by the split application of nitrogen and potassium during kharif under protective irrigation. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 8(4): 361-364.
- 27) Jaber, A., A. and Rashid, Kh. (2013). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and potassium foliar and dates application on some growth characteristics and yield for (Zea mays L.). vr. Bohoth 106. Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science, 5(3).
- 28) Mohana, A.; Suleiman, M. and Khedr, W. (2015). Effect of Humic Acid and Rates of Nitrogen Fertilizer on Yield and Yield Components of Corn (Zea mays L.). Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 11(1).
- **29**) Alnaseri, Y. A. and Alabdulla, S. A. (2020). Study of growth and yield of maize (Zea mays L.) under levels of nitrogen and potassium fertilization. Jornal of Al-Muthanna for Agricultural Sciences, 8(1).
- **30**) Khafaji, H. H. A. A. (2015). Effect of Concentration and spraying date of Humic acid on Growth and yield of Zea mays. L. Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences, 7(1): 155-170.



- 31) Shaalan Jarallah, R. and Abbas Mohammed, J. (2018). The Effect of Organic and Mineral Fertilization on The Values of Soluble Potassium Inside and Outside Rhizosphere of Zea Maize (Zea mays L.). Al-Qadisiyah Journal For Agriculture Sciences, 8(1): 13-22.
- **32**) Al-Maeni, A. T. and Al-Jubouri, S. J. (2017). Effect of Different Levels of Magnesium and Potassium Fertilizers on the Grain Yield and Components of Maize in Calcareous Soils. Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences, 17(4).
- **33**) Nejad, S. D.; Nejad, T. S. and Lack, S. (2010). Study effect drought stress and different levels potassium fertilizer on K accumulation in corn. Nature and science, 8(5): 23-27.
- **34**) Yildirim, E. (2007). Foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid affect productivity and quality of tomato. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B-Soil and Plant Science, 57(2): 182-186.
- **35**) Al-Yasari, M. N. H. (2022). Potassium and nano-copper fertilization effects on morphological and production traits of oat (Avena sativa L.). SABRAO J. Breed. Genet, 54(3): 678-685.
- **36**) AL-Yasari, M. N. H. and Al-Hilli, M. (2018). Effect of NPK and organic fertilization and iron and zinc paper spraying based on nanotechnology and normal methods in the growth and yield of Solanum tuberosum L. Int. J. Agric. Stat. Sci, 14(1): 229-238.
- **37**) Zakaria, S. M. (2018). Response of maize plants to different N-Sources and foliar application of potassium humate.Menoufia Journal of Soil Science, 3(2): 101-119.
- **38**) Halvin, J. L.; Beaton, J. D.; Tisdale, S. L. and Nelson, W. L. (2005). Soil fertility and fertilizers: an introduction to nutrient management. Pretice Hall, New Jersey.
- 39) Jan, M. F.; Khan, A. A.; Liaqat, W.; Ahmadzai, M. D.; Ahmad, H. and Haroon, J. (2018). 12. Impact of integrated potassium management on plant growth, dry matter partitioning and yield of different maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB), 7(4): 1277-1285.