

Comparing Different Algorithms for Estimating parameters and Reliability Function of weibull distribution

ReemRazzaq Abdul Hussein

reemrazzak@yahoo.com

University of Information Technology & Communications

Abstract

Today computational techniques play an important role in various fields. In this research was to use the language of Matlab programming to simulate and compare three different algorithms are used to estimate the parameter (α, β) and reliability function of two parameters weibulldistribution. the estimation algorithms are moment estimators , maximumlikelihoodestimatorsand L_moment estimators algorithms which implemented on different sample n. and each algorithms is repeated (R=1000), and the results of estimation for parameters and reliability function are compared using statistical measure mean square error and all result are explained in tables.

Introduction

The weibull probability distribution, like another distribution Frechet, gamma, Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern, Clayton, Ali-Mikhail-Haq, Gumbel-Hougaard, Gumbel-Barnett, Nelsen Ten.) are based on models [1][2], that approximately describe the joint probability distribution of a group of variables and it is a power transformation of the exponential distribution, many researcher such as Gastwirth, J.L.(1971), Balakrishnan and Kocherlakota (1985)[3] and Groeneveld, R.A. (1986) .have been studied this family of weibull and explain its skewness and estimating its parameter , by using maximum likelihood, and Method of Moments (MOM) , [4][5][6],[7],[8],[9],[10].

Key words:

Weibull distribution, Maximum likelihood, L_ moment estimators ,moment estimators .

Definition

the probability density function of two parameter's weillbull's defined by:

$$f_T(t) := \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} \dots\dots(1) \quad \text{where } t > 0$$

when β is scale parameter and α is shape parameter and the cumulative distribution function(C.D.F) is

$$F_T(t) := 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} \dots\dots(2)$$

while the reliability function $R(t) = \Pr(T > t)$

is defined by $R(t) = F_T(t) = e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} \dots\dots(3)$ where $(t > 0)$ and $(\alpha > \beta > 0)$

before estimating two parameters (α, β) by maximum likelihood and moments , we derived a formula forth non central moment about origin and it is found

$$\mu^r = E(t^r) = \int_0^\infty t^r (f^T(t)) dt \dots\dots(4)$$

which lead to

$$\mu^r = E(t^r) = \beta^r \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{r+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \dots\dots(5)$$

and from equation (5) we define the mean of weibull is :

$$E(T) = \mu^1 = \beta \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$\text{and } E(T^2) = \beta^2 \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$\alpha^2 = E(T^2) - (E(T))^2$$

$$= \beta^2 \left[\text{Gamma}\left(\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - \text{Gamma}^2\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \right]$$

Then the moment estimator of scale parameter β , can be obtained from solving :

$$m_1 = E(T)$$

$$= \beta \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \sum \frac{x_i}{n}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{mom}} = x / \text{gamma}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)$$

and the shape parameter (α) is obtained from solving (6)

$$E(t^2) = \sum \frac{t_i^2}{n} \dots (6)$$

$$= \beta^2 \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) = \sum \frac{t_i}{n} \dots (7)$$

Using $\hat{\beta}_{\text{mom}} = x / \text{gamma}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)$ in equation (7), we can find $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{mom}}$

The maximum likelihood estimators this estimator obtained from maximizing the log of likelihood function obtained from equation (1).

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n g(t_i)$$

$$L = \frac{\alpha^n}{\beta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha-1} (\beta)^{n(1-\alpha)} e^{-\sum (t_i/\beta)} \dots (8)$$

$$\log L = n \log \alpha - n \log \beta + (\alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log t_i + n(1-\alpha) \log \beta - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \beta^{-\alpha}$$

$$\sigma \log L / \sigma \alpha = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=0}^n \log t_i - n \log \beta - \sum (t_i/\beta)^\alpha - (1) \log (t_i/\beta)$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{ml} = \frac{n}{\sum (t_i/\beta)^\alpha \log (t_i/\beta) + n \log \beta - \sum_{i=0}^n \log t_i} \dots (9)$$

And

$$\frac{\sigma \log L}{\sigma \beta} = \frac{-n}{\beta} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{\beta} + \alpha \sum t_i \alpha \beta^{-\alpha-1} = n \alpha / \beta = \alpha / \beta \beta^{-\alpha} \sum t_i^\alpha$$

$$n = \sum t_i^\alpha / \beta^\alpha$$

$$Br = \int_0^\infty [1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}]^r \alpha/\beta (t/\beta)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}$$

$$\alpha \int_0^\infty [1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}]^r (t/\beta)^\alpha e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} dt$$

since $(a+b)^r = \sum_{i=0}^r a C_i^r a^{r-i} b^i$

$$\alpha \int_{i=0}^r C_i^r (1)^i (-e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha})^{r-i} \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}$$

$$\alpha \sum_{i=0}^r C_i^r (-1)^{r-i} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha - (r-i)\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} dt$$

simplify to

$$\beta r = \alpha \sum_{i=0}^r C_i^r (-1)^{r-i} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha - (1+r-i)\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha} dt$$

$$Z = \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha$$

$$Z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{t}{\beta} \rightarrow dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} Z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} dz$$

$$Br = \alpha$$

$$= \beta \sum_{i=0}^r C_i^r (-1)^{r-i} \int_0^\infty Z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-Z(1+r-i)} dz$$

$$\beta \sum_{i=0}^r C_i^r (-1)^{r-i} \frac{1}{(1+r-i)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}} \text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right) \dots \dots (13)$$

there for from equaling equation (13),with (12) , we can obtain the L-moment estimators of α, β as:

$$b_1 = \beta_1, b_2 = \beta_2$$

$$\frac{1}{nC_1^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n C_1^{i-1} t_{(i)} = \beta \sum_{i=0}^1 C_i^1 (-1)^{1-i} \frac{\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right)}{(2-i)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)t_{(i)}}{n(n-1)} = \beta \left[C_0^1 (-1)^{1-0} \frac{\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right)}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}} + C_1^0 (-1)^0 \frac{\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right)}{1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)t_{(i)}}{n(n-1)} = \beta \left[\frac{-\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right)}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}} + \frac{\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right)}{1} \right]$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)t_{(i)}}{n(n-1)} = \beta \left[\text{gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}} \right] \right]$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)t(i)}{n(n-1)} \dots\dots\dots(14)$$

$$L \text{ gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1 \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1}} \right]$$

And from B2=b2 where

$$\beta \sum_{i=0}^2 C_1^2 (-1)^{2-i} \frac{\text{gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}{3^{-i(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n C_2^{i-1} t(i)}{n C_1^{n-1}}$$

$$\beta [C_0^2 (-1)^2 \frac{\text{gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}{3^{(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}} + C_1^2 (-1)^1 \frac{\text{gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}{2^{(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}} + C_2^2 (-1)^0 \frac{\text{gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}{1^{(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}} +$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n C_2^{i-1} t(i)}{\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2}}$$

From solving $\beta_2=b_2$ we obtain the equation which lead to α

L_{mom}

$$\hat{\beta} = \text{Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} + \frac{1}{3^{(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1)}} \right)$$

$$L_{mom} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(i-1)(i-2)t(i)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$$

Simulation procedure

This section deals with applying simulation program to find the estimator's of two parameters weibull and reliability of weibull using methods of maximum likelihood and method of Moments and L_Moment. by using matlab (MATRIX LABORATORY) applied different set of sample size and each experiment s repeated R=1000 as show in part of programming code and

The part of programming code simulation as following:

```

B=2;
e=2.5;
n=100;
t=[0.5:0.5:2.5];
Rreal=exp(-(t/B).^e); %% real Reliability
    
```

```

for R=1:1000
u=rand(n,1);
t1=B*((-log(1-u)).^(1/e));
x=sort(t1);

Bmle(q)=((sum(x.^e))/n).^(1/e);
e10=e;
mle=fsolve(@(e1) SOL(e1,x,Bmle(q),n),e10);
emle(q)=mle;
Rmle(q,:)=exp(-(t./Bmle(q)).^emle(q)); %% mle Reliability

Bmom(q)=(mean(x))/gamma((1+e)/e);
a0=.1;
mom=fsolve(@(a) SOL2(a,x,Bmom(q)),a0);
emom(q)=mom;
Rmom(q,:)=exp(-(t./Bmom(q)).^emom(q));%%% mom Reliability
for k=2:n
s1(k)=k-1;
end
b1=(sum(s1'.*x))/(n*(n-1));
L2=(2*b1)-mean(x);
%%%%%%%%%%%%%% lmom
Blmom(q)=((mean(x))/(gamma((1+e)/e)));
b0=e;
lmom=fsolve(@(b)reem3(b,Blmom(q),L2),b0);
elmom(q)=lmom;
Rlmom(q,:)=exp(-(t./Blmom(q)).^elmom(q));% lmom Reliability
end
Bhad=[mean(Bmle) mean(Bmom) mean(Blmom)]
ehad=[mean(emle) mean(emom) mean(elmom)]
mseB=[mean((Bmle-B).^2) mean((Bmom-B).^2) mean((Blmom-B).^2)]
msee=[mean((emle-e).^2) mean((emom-e).^2) mean((elmom-e).^2)]
Rreal=Rreal

```

$$mRmle = \text{mean}(Rmle)$$

$$mRmom = \text{mean}(Rmom)$$

$$mRlmom = \text{mean}(Rlmom)$$

$$mseRmle1 = ((\text{mean}(Rmle) - Rreal).^2)$$

$$mseRmom1 = ((\text{mean}(Rmom) - Rreal).^2)$$

$$mseRlmom1 = ((\text{mean}(Rlmom) - Rreal).^2)$$

$$mseRmle = \text{mean}((\text{mean}(Rmle,1) - Rreal).^2)$$

$$mseRmom = \text{mean}((\text{mean}(Rmom,1) - Rreal).^2)$$

$$mseRlmom = \text{mean}((\text{mean}(Rlmom,1) - Rreal).^2)$$

And all the results are explained in the following tables:

| n | method | Experiment 1 | | Experiment 2 | | Experiment 3 | |
|------|--------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | B = 1 | $\alpha = 0.5$ | B = 1.5 | $\alpha = 2$ | B = 2 | $\alpha = 2.5$ |
| 15 | mle | 0.3370 | 0.0131 | 0.0373 | 0.2030 | 0.0443 | 0.3353 |
| | mom | 0.3662 | 0.0042 | 0.0402 | 0.1811 | 0.0497 | 0.2385 |
| | lmom | 0.3662 | 0.0009 | 0.0402 | 0.2066 | 0.0497 | 0.3973 |
| | | mle | lmom | mle | mom | mle | mom |
| 25 | mle | 0.1804 | 0.0069 | 0.0232 | 0.1053 | 0.0246 | 0.1894 |
| | mom | 0.1913 | 0.0027 | 0.0241 | 0.0969 | 0.0285 | 0.1509 |
| | lmom | 0.1913 | 0.0005 | 0.0241 | 0.1141 | 0.0285 | 0.2333 |
| | | mle | lmom | mle | mom | mle | mom |
| 50 | mle | 0.0777 | 0.0030 | 0.0101 | 0.0447 | 0.0120 | 0.0777 |
| | mom | 0.0895 | 0.0015 | 0.0114 | 0.0407 | 0.0137 | 0.0705 |
| | lmom | 0.0895 | 0.0002 | 0.0114 | 0.0522 | 0.0137 | 0.1015 |
| | | mle | lmom | mle | mom | mle | mom |
| 100 | mle | 0.0425 | 0.0015 | 0.0055 | 0.0210 | 0.0058 | 0.0355 |
| | mom | 0.0519 | 0.0009 | 0.0059 | 0.0196 | 0.0068 | 0.0356 |
| | lmom | 0.0519 | 0.0001 | 0.0059 | 0.0258 | 0.0068 | 0.0477 |
| best | | mle | lmom | mle | mom | mle | mle |

Table (1) two parameter of wiebul

Table (2) mean square error

| n | method | Experiment 1 | | Experiment 2 | | Experiment 3 | |
|-----|--------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | B = 1 | $\alpha = 0.5$ | B = 1.5 | $\alpha = 2$ | B = 2 | $\alpha = 2.5$ |
| 15 | mle | 1.0993 | 0.5424 | 1.4859 | 2.1610 | 1.9787 | 2.7256 |
| | mom | 1.0402 | 0.5519 | 1.4970 | 2.1526 | 1.9958 | 2.6050 |
| | lmom | 1.0402 | 0.5078 | 1.4970 | 2.0605 | 1.9958 | 2.6077 |
| 25 | mle | 1.0462 | 0.5239 | 1.4870 | 2.1066 | 1.9864 | 2.6559 |
| | mom | 1.0033 | 0.5383 | 1.4953 | 2.0971 | 1.9996 | 2.5533 |
| | lmom | 1.0033 | 0.5056 | 1.4953 | 2.0512 | 1.9996 | 2.5946 |
| 50 | mle | 1.0169 | 0.5124 | 1.4932 | 2.0446 | 1.9980 | 2.5592 |
| | mom | 0.9963 | 0.5246 | 1.4964 | 2.0340 | 2.0020 | 2.4640 |
| | lmom | 0.9963 | 0.5029 | 1.4964 | 2.0172 | 2.0020 | 2.5279 |
| 100 | mle | 1.0199 | 0.5056 | 1.4995 | 2.0279 | 2.0017 | 2.5355 |
| | mom | 1.0134 | 0.5143 | 1.5019 | 2.0170 | 2.0050 | 2.4408 |
| | lmom | 1.0134 | 0.5011 | 1.5019 | 2.0135 | 2.0050 | 2.5176 |

The second table(2) calculate mean square error ,it is found that the best estimator for (β) is MLE,while for(α) ,the first best estimator is ($\hat{\alpha}_{mom}$) and the second estimator is $\hat{\alpha}_{lmom}$ and finally $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$ is best.

In table (3) was found the value of reliability function for first experiment.

| n | t_i | Real | mle | mom | lmom |
|----|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.4931 | 0.4909 | 0.4761 | 0.4684 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3603 | 0.3432 | 0.3452 |
| | 1.5 | 0.2938 | 0.2844 | 0.2673 | 0.2745 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2431 | 0.2334 | 0.2169 | 0.2270 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2057 | 0.1966 | 0.1808 | 0.1925 |
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.4931 | 0.4907 | 0.4820 | 0.4759 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3622 | 0.3496 | 0.3511 |
| | 1.5 | 0.2938 | 0.2868 | 0.2731 | 0.2787 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2431 | 0.2358 | 0.2218 | 0.2299 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 25 | 2.5 | 0.2057 | 0.1987 | 0.1848 | 0.1943 |
| 50 | 0.5 | 0.4931 | 0.4915 | 0.4880 | 0.4837 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3643 | 0.3577 | 0.3585 |
| | 1.5 | 0.2938 | 0.2894 | 0.2814 | 0.2853 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2431 | 0.2384 | 0.2299 | 0.2355 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2057 | 0.2011 | 0.1924 | 0.1990 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.4931 | 0.4939 | 0.4932 | 0.4904 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3679 | 0.3650 | 0.3655 |
| | 1.5 | 0.2938 | 0.2935 | 0.2895 | 0.2918 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2431 | 0.2426 | 0.2381 | 0.2415 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2057 | 0.2052 | 0.2005 | 0.2045 |

Table (3) the values of Reliability function for first experiment

In table (4) was found the values of reliability function for second experiment

| n | t_i | Real | mle | mom | lmom |
|-----|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.8948 | 0.8970 | 0.8970 | 0.8855 |
| | 1.0 | 0.6412 | 0.6401 | 0.6451 | 0.6351 |
| | 1.5 | 0.3679 | 0.3547 | 0.3644 | 0.3650 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1690 | 0.1570 | 0.1633 | 0.1710 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0622 | 0.0601 | 0.0612 | 0.0694 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 0.8948 | 0.8972 | 0.8968 | 0.8908 |
| | 1.0 | 0.6412 | 0.6399 | 0.6433 | 0.6381 |
| | 1.5 | 0.3679 | 0.3566 | 0.3635 | 0.3639 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1690 | 0.1583 | 0.1631 | 0.1672 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0622 | 0.0592 | 0.0604 | 0.0648 |
| 50 | 0.5 | 0.8948 | 0.8953 | 0.8942 | 0.8917 |
| | 1.0 | 0.6412 | 0.6404 | 0.6408 | 0.6388 |
| | 1.5 | 0.3679 | 0.3631 | 0.3659 | 0.3661 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1690 | 0.1640 | 0.1668 | 0.1684 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0622 | 0.0605 | 0.0616 | 0.0634 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.8948 | 0.8961 | 0.8950 | 0.8943 |
| | 1.0 | 0.6412 | 0.6424 | 0.6423 | 0.6419 |
| | 1.5 | 0.3679 | 0.3667 | 0.3686 | 0.3686 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1690 | 0.1670 | 0.1693 | 0.1697 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0622 | 0.0615 | 0.0628 | 0.0633 |

Table (4) the values of Reliability function for second experiment

In table (5) was found the values of reliability function for third experiment

| n | t_i | Real | mle | mom | lmom |
|-----|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.9692 | 0.9698 | 0.9651 | 0.9620 |
| | 1.0 | 0.8380 | 0.8421 | 0.8333 | 0.8298 |
| | 1.5 | 0.6144 | 0.6123 | 0.6120 | 0.6117 |
| | 2.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3528 | 0.3663 | 0.3676 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1743 | 0.1596 | 0.1750 | 0.1764 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 0.9692 | 0.9703 | 0.9659 | 0.9660 |
| | 1.0 | 0.8380 | 0.8428 | 0.8345 | 0.8365 |
| | 1.5 | 0.6144 | 0.6155 | 0.6137 | 0.6168 |
| | 2.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3586 | 0.3686 | 0.3693 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1743 | 0.1628 | 0.1761 | 0.1743 |
| 50 | 0.5 | 0.9692 | 0.9693 | 0.9650 | 0.9670 |
| | 1.0 | 0.8380 | 0.8398 | 0.8304 | 0.8360 |
| | 1.5 | 0.6144 | 0.6155 | 0.6097 | 0.6150 |
| | 2.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3655 | 0.3692 | 0.3695 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1743 | 0.1712 | 0.1800 | 0.1761 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.9692 | 0.9697 | 0.9655 | 0.9684 |
| | 1.0 | 0.8380 | 0.8401 | 0.8307 | 0.8382 |
| | 1.5 | 0.6144 | 0.6166 | 0.6100 | 0.6166 |
| | 2.0 | 0.3679 | 0.3680 | 0.3704 | 0.3706 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1743 | 0.1733 | 0.1814 | 0.1764 |

Table (5) the values of Reliability function for third experiment

In table (6) shows the mean square error for first experiment.

| n | t_i | mle | mom | lmom |
|-----|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.0462e-004 | 0.2881 e-003 | 0.6063 e-003 |
| | 1.0 | 0.5716e-004 | 0.6079 e-003 | 0.5141e-003 |
| | 1.5 | 0.8917e-004 | 0.7028e-003 | 0.3744 e-003 |
| | 2.0 | 0.9373e-004 | 0.6864e-003 | 0.2592e-003 |
| | 2.5 | 0.8347e-004 | 0.6243e-003 | 0.1743e-003 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 0.0581e-004 | 0.1226e-003 | 0.2958e-003 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3261e-004 | 0.3328e-003 | 0.2824e-003 |
| | 1.5 | 0.4903e-004 | 0.4300e-003 | 0.2285 e-003 |
| | 2.0 | 0.5323e-004 | 0.4528e-003 | 0.1755e-003 |
| | 2.5 | 0.5005e-004 | 0.4369e-003 | 0.1316e-003 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.0243 e-004 | 0.0252 e-003 | 0.8759 e-004 |
| | 1.0 | 0.1266e-004 | 0.1044e-003 | 0.8755 e-004 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1943e-004 | 0.1534 e-003 | 0.7350e-004 |

| | | | | |
|-----|-----|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| 50 | 2.0 | 0.2187e-004 | 0.1740 e-003 | 0.5840e-004 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2149e-004 | 0.1769e-003 | 0.4526e-004 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.6851e-006 | 0.0001e-004 | 0.6918e-005 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0026 e-006 | 0.0822e-004 | 0.5842e-005 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1155e-006 | 0.1846e-004 | 0.4020e-005 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2570 e-006 | 0.2475e-004 | 0.2513e-005 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2978e-006 | 0.2753e-004 | 0.1442e-005 |

Table (6) mean square error for first experiment

In table (7) shows the mean square error for second experiment.

| n | t_i | mle | mom | lmom |
|-----|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.0048e-003 | 0.0452e-004 | 0.8767e-004 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0012e-003 | 0.0452e-004 | 0.3713e-004 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1749e-003 | 0.0452e-004 | 0.0852e-004 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1453e-003 | 0.0452e-004 | 0.0380e-004 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0045e-003 | 0.0452e-004 | 0.5180e-004 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 0.0056e-003 | 0.0392e-004 | 0.1604e-004 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0016e-003 | 0.0440e-004 | 0.0942e-004 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1265e-003 | 0.1893e-004 | 0.1619e-004 |
| | 2.0 | 0.1158e-003 | 0.3476e-004 | 0.0334e-004 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0089e-003 | 0.0306e-004 | 0.0700e-004 |
| 50 | 0.5 | 0.0019e-004 | 0.0433e-005 | 0.9798e-005 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0055e-004 | 0.0160e-005 | 0.5664e-005 |
| | 1.5 | 0.2302e-004 | 0.3871e-005 | 0.3218e-005 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2538e-004 | 0.5101e-005 | 0.0418e-005 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0293e-004 | 0.0354e-005 | 0.1545e-005 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.1521e-005 | 0.0031e-005 | 0.0297e-005 |
| | 1.0 | 0.1525e-005 | 0.1273e-005 | 0.0465e-005 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1451e-005 | 0.0469e-005 | 0.0579e-005 |
| | 2.0 | 0.3920e-005 | 0.0072e-005 | 0.0436e-005 |
| | 2.5 | 0.0412e-005 | 0.0353e-005 | 0.1229e-005 |

Table (7) mean square error of second experiment

In table (8) shows the mean square error for third experiment.

| n | t_i | mle | mom | lmom |
|-----|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| 15 | 0.5 | 0.0003e-003 | 0.1739e-004 | 0.5239e-004 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0171e-003 | 0.2169e-004 | 0.6666e-004 |
| | 1.5 | 0.0044e-003 | 0.0575e-004 | 0.0712e-004 |
| | 2.0 | 0.2278e-003 | 0.0245e-004 | 0.0006e-004 |
| | 2.5 | 0.2155e-003 | 0.0052e-004 | 0.0458e-004 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 0.0011e-003 | 0.1084e-004 | 0.1054e-004 |
| | 1.0 | 0.0238e-003 | 0.1221e-004 | 0.0206e-004 |
| | 1.5 | 0.0014e-003 | 0.0051e-004 | 0.0592e-004 |
| | 2.0 | 0.0852e-003 | 0.0048e-004 | 0.0208e-004 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1321e-003 | 0.0307e-004 | 0.00001e-004 |
| 50 | 0.5 | 0.0007e-005 | 0.1818e-004 | 0.4972e-005 |
| | 1.0 | 0.3322e-005 | 0.5791e-004 | 0.3823e-005 |
| | 1.5 | 0.1256e-005 | 0.2197e-004 | 0.0392e-005 |
| | 2.0 | 0.5548e-005 | 0.0173e-004 | 0.2740e-005 |
| | 2.5 | 0.9935e-005 | 0.3194e-004 | 0.3244e-005 |
| 100 | 0.5 | 0.0187e-005 | 0.1416e-004 | 0.0617e-005 |
| | 1.0 | 0.4528e-005 | 0.1416e-004 | 0.0043e-005 |
| | 1.5 | 0.5013e-005 | 0.1416e-004 | 0.4993e-005 |
| | 2.0 | 0.0026e-005 | 0.1416e-004 | 0.7505e-005 |
| | 2.5 | 0.1056e-005 | 0.1416e-004 | 0.4539e-005 |

Table (8) mean square error of third experiment

| Model | n | mle | mom | lmom | best |
|-------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 1 | 15 | 6.5629e-005 | 5.8187e-004 | 3.8565e-004 | mom |
| | 25 | 3.8149e-005 | 3.5501e-004 | 2.2276e-004 | mle |
| | 50 | 1.5574e-005 | 1.2678e-004 | 7.0458e-005 | mle |
| | 100 | 2.7161e-007 | 1.5795e-005 | 4.1470e-006 | mle |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | 15 | 6.6119e-005 | 1.2957e-005 | 3.7787e-005 | mom |
| | 25 | 5.1661e-005 | 1.3014e-005 | 1.0398e-005 | lmom |
| | 50 | 1.0413e-005 | 1.9838e-006 | 4.1286e-006 | mom |
| | 100 | 1.7658e-006 | 4.3936e-007 | 6.0129e-007 | mom |
| | | | | | |
| 3 | 15 | 9.3018e-005 | 9.5608e-006 | 2.6162e-005 | mom |
| | 25 | 4.8691e-005 | 5.4232e-006 | 4.1197e-006 | lmom |
| | 50 | 4.0136e-006 | 2.6347e-005 | 3.0344e-006 | lmom |
| | 100 | 2.1621e-006 | 2.8548e-005 | 3.5393e-006 | mle |
| | | | | | |

Table (9) MSE for reliability in different method and experiments

From table (9) it is known that the best \hat{R}_{mom} is best with (41.666%) and then \hat{R}_{MLE} with (33.333%) and finally \hat{R}_{Lmom} (25%).

Conclusion

in this paper have been used matlab for writing a program to compare three different algorithms are used to estimate the parameter (α, β) and reliability function of two parameters weibull distribution. the estimation algorithms are moment estimators, maximum likelihood estimators and L_moment estimators algorithms which implemented on different sample n and the results of estimation for parameters and reliability function are compared using MSE for parameters and it is found that the best estimator for (β) is MLE, while for (α) , the first best estimator is $(\hat{\alpha}_{mom})$ and the second estimator is $\hat{\alpha}_{Lmom}$ and finally

$\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$ is best while the MSE for reliability it is known that the best \hat{R}_{mom} is best with MLE

(41.666%) and then \hat{R}_{MLE} with (33.333%) and finally \hat{R}_{Lmom} (25%).

References

- [1] Weibull, W. A statistical distribution function of wide applicability. *J. Appl. Mech. nTrans. ASME*, 18 (3), 293–297, 1951
- [2] M. Cacciari, G. Mazzanti and G. C. Montanari, "Comparison of maximum likelihood unbiasing methods for the estimation of the Weibull parameters". *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, Vol. 3, pp. 18-27, 1996.
- [3]: Balakrishnan, N. and Kocherlakota, S. (1985). 'On the double Weibull distribution: order statistics and estimation', *Sankhya, Series*, 47:161–178.
- [3]: H. Hirose, "Maximum likelihood estimation in the 3-parameter Weibull distribution: A look through the Generalized Extreme-value distribution". *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, Vol. 3, pp. 43-55, 1996.

- [4]: Mohammad A. Al-Fawzan , “Methods for Estimating the Parameters of the Weibull Distribution”, May 2000
- [5]: Denis Cousineau , “Fitting the Three-Parameter Weibull Distribution: Review and Evaluation of Existing and New Methods”.2002 .
- [6]: Cohen, A. C., "Maximum likelihood estimation in the Weibull distribution based on complete and on censored samples", Technometrics, Vol. 7, No. 4, November 1965.
- [7]:E. Gourdin, P. Hansen, and B. Jaumard, “Finding maximum likelihood estimators for the three-parameter Weibull distribution”, J. Global Optimization, Vol. 5, pp. 373-397, 1994.
- [8]: A. Dempster, N. Laird and D. Rubin, “Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm”, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B, vol. 39, no. 1, , pp. 1-38, **1977.**
- [9]: International Journal of Energy, Information and Communications, “Comparison of Weibull Distribution and Exponentiated Weibull Distribution Based Estimation of Mean and Variance of Wind Data”, Vol. 4, Issue 4, August, 2013.
- [10]:FritzScholz,"Inference for the WeibullDistribution",May 22,2008.
- [11]: Ulk□u Eri_so_glu_ ,Murat Eri_so_glu_,"L-Moments Estimations for Mixture of Weibull Distributions”, Journal of Data Science ,pp69-85,2014.
- [12]: Teimouri, M., Hoseini, S. M. and Nadarajah, “Comparison of estimation methods for the Weibull distribution”, Statistics: A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics 47, 93-109, 2013.