

Some results on 3-normed spaces and fuzzy 3-normed spaces

Faria Ali C.
Department of Mathematics
College of Science
Al-Mustansiriyah University
Baghdad, Iraq.

and

Ahlam Jameel K.
Department of Mathematics
and Computer Applications
College of Science
Al-Nahrain University
Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract:-

In this paper we give some definitions and basic concepts related with 3-normed space like we give definitions of closed subset, closure subset, bounded subset and equivalent norms. Moreover, we prove every Cauchy sequence in 3-normed space is bounded and a Cauchy sequence is convergent in an 3-normed space if and only if it has a convergent subsequence. Thereafter, we generalize this facts to fuzzy 3-normed space.

بعض النتائج عن الفضاءات 3-المعيارية و الفضاءات الضبابية 3- المعيارية

الخلاصة :-

في هذا البحث قدمنا بعض التعاريف والحقائق الأساسية المتعلقة بفضاء 3-المعيارية مثلا قدمنا تعاريف المجموعة الجزئية المغلقة , انغلاق المجموعة الجزئية , والمجموعة الجزئية المقيدة وتكافؤ المعايير. أكثر من هذا فلقد تم إثبات كل متتابعة كوشية في فضاء 3- المعيارية تكون مقيدة وكل متتابعة كوشية في الفضاء 3- المعيارية تكون متقاربة إذا امتلكت متتابعة جزئية متقاربة. بعد ذلك قمنا بأعمام هذه الحقائق على الفضاء الضبابي 3- المعيارية.

1. Introduction:-

In 1964, the theory of 2-normed space was investigated by Gahler [8]. While the theory of an n-normed spaces can be found in [4]. Different authors introduced different definitions of fuzzy normed space (see [2],[3],[5],[7],[11]). The notation of fuzzy n-normed linear space is introduced in [1], [9]. Since fuzzy 3-normed space can be applied in fuzzy operations research specific on fuzzy scheduling then in this paper we give some properties for 3-normed and then generalized to fuzzy 3-normed this properties important in the future work in fuzzy operations research.

Throughout this work, we assume X to be a real linear space of dimension $d \geq 3$.

2. Preliminaries:-

In this section, we give some basic concepts that we needed then later.

Definition (2.1), [4]:-

Let X be a real linear space of dimension $d \geq 3$. A function $\|.,.,.\| : X \times X \times X \longrightarrow R^+ \cup \{0\}$

which satisfy the following axioms:

(N1) $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent.

(N2) $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|$ is an invariant under any permutation of x_1, x_2, x_3 .

(N3) $\|x_1, x_2, cx_3\| = |c| \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|$ for any $c \in R$,

(N4) $\|x_1, x_2, y + z\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, y\| + \|x_1, x_2, z\|$,

is said to be a 3-norm on X and the pair $(X, \|.,.,.\|)$ is called an 3-normed space.

Definition (2.2), [4]:-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent if there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. In this case x is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we denote it by $\lim x_n$. Otherwise the sequence is divergent.

Definition (2.3), [4]:-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of X is said to be Cauchy sequence in case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $p=1,2,\dots$

Definition (2.4), [1]:-

A fuzzy subset N of $X^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a fuzzy 3-norm on the real linear space X in case the following axioms hold:

- (FN1) $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = 0$ for each $t \leq 0$.
- (FN2) $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = 1$ for each $t > 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent.
- (FN3) $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ is an invariant under any permutation of x_1, x_2, x_3 .
- (FN4) If $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}$ then $N(x_1, x_2, cx_3, t) = N(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{t}{|c|})$ for each $t > 0$.
- (FN5) $N(x_1, x_2, x + y, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x_1, x_2, x, s), N(x_1, x_2, y, t)\}$ for each $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (FN6) $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = 1$.

The pair (X, N) will be referred to as a fuzzy 3-normed linear space.

Now, the question arises: can one generate an 3-norm from a fuzzy 3-norm ?

To answer this question, see the following theorem.

Theorem (2.5), [1]:-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed linear space. Assume further that for each $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) > 0$ implies x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent. For each $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$, define $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha = \inf \{t : N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \geq \alpha\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha$ is an 3-norm on X and $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha \mid \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of 3-norms on X .

Theorem (2.6), [10]:-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space satisfying the following conditions

- (1) For each $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) > 0$ implies x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent.
- (2) For x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly independent, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ is a continuous of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and strictly increasing in the subset $\{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) < 1\}$ of \mathbb{R} .

Let $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha = \inf \{t : N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \geq \alpha\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $N' : X^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$N'(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\alpha \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha \leq t\} & \text{when } x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ are linearly independent, } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

- (a) $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha \mid \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of α -3-norms corresponding to the fuzzy 3-

normed space (X, N) .

(b) (X, N') is a fuzzy 3-normed space.

(c) $N' = N$.

Definition (2.7), [9]:-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed linear space, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent if there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$. In this case x is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. Otherwise the sequence is divergent.

Definition (2.8), [9]:-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed linear space, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of X is said to be Cauchy sequence in case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $t > 0$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$

3. Some Results in 3-Normed Spaces:-

In this section we give some results in 3-normed spaces. We start with the following theorem. This theorem shows that the limit of a convergent sequence in a 3-normed space is unique. This theorem is used in [4] without proof, here we give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem (3.1):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim x_n = y$ then $x = y$.

Proof:-

For each $x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, x_2, x - y\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x - x_n + x_n - y\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x - x_n\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - y\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - y\| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|x_1, x_2, x - y\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Then $x = y$.

Next, the following proposition illustrates that every subsequence of a convergent sequence converges.

Proposition (3.2):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space and $\lim x_n = x$. Then $\lim x_{n_k} = x$ for every subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Proof:-

Since $\lim x_n = x$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Fixed $x_1, x_2 \in X$, Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$. Hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\| = 0$. Therefore, for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\| = 0. \text{ Then } \lim x_{n_k} = x.$$

Proposition (3.3):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space and $\lim x_n = x$, $\lim y_n = y$. Then $\lim(\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x + \beta y, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof:-

Since $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim y_n = y$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, y_n - y\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) - (\alpha x + \beta y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \alpha x_n - \alpha x + \beta y_n - \beta y\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \alpha x_n - \alpha x\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \beta y_n - \beta y\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim(\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x + \beta y$.

Next, the following theorem illustrates that every convergent sequence is Cauchy sequence. This is used in [4] without proof, here we give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem (3.4):-

In an 3-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$, every convergent sequence is Cauchy sequence.

Proof:-

Suppose that for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$.

Then, for $p=1,2,\dots$, one can have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x + x - x_n\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| \end{aligned}$$

By using proposition (3.2) one can get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x\| = 0$. Thus

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $p=1,2,\dots$. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$.

The question now arises: does every Cauchy sequence in an 3-normed space is convergent?. The following example gives an answer.

Example (3.5):-

Let X be a real linear space of finitely nonzero sequences. Let

$$\|x, y, z\|_S = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i z_i^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i x_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i z_i^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i x_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i y_i^* & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |z_i|^2 \end{array} \right)^{1/2}$$

Then, $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_S)$ is an 3-normed space. There exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_n = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots \right\}$$

such that X_n is Cauchy but not converges in X .

Next, in [6] gave the definitions of closed subset, closure subset, bounded subset and compact subset in 2-normed space. Here we give the same definitions, but for the an 3-normed space due to [4].

Definition (3.6):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be closed in case for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$, implies $x \in U$.

Definition (3.7):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A subset V of X is said to be the closure of a subset U of X in case for any $x \in V$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$. We denote the set V by \bar{U} .

Definition (3.8):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be bounded in case there exists two independent vectors z_1, z_2 in X and $M > 0$ such that $\|z_1, z_2, x\| < M$ for each $x \in U$

Definition (3.9):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ be an 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be compact in case every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U has subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that there exists $x \in U$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Proposition (3.10):-

Every compact subset U of an 3-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ is closed and bounded.

Proof:-

Suppose U is compact subset of an 3-normed space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Since U is compact then there exists subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in U . Again $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim x_{n_k} = x$ by proposition (3.2) then $x \in U$. If U is not bounded, then would contain a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $\|z_1, z_2, y_n\| > n$, for any fixed independent vectors z_1 and z_2 . Now this sequence could not have a convergent subsequence because if $\{y_{n_k}\}$ were a convergent subsequence to y then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, y_{n_k} - y\| = 0$ and for ε there would exist a positive integer N such that $\|z_1, z_2, y_{n_k}\| - \|z_1, z_2, y\| \leq \|z_1, z_2, y_{n_k} - y\| \leq \varepsilon$ for each $k > N$ which is a contradiction.

The following example shows that the converse of proposition (3.10) is not true.

Example (3.11):-

Let $(R^3, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_E)$ be an 3-normed space where an 3-norm defined as follows:

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_E = \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix}. \text{ The set } U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|(1,0,0), (0,1,0), x\|_E \leq 1\}$$

is not compact set. Because the sequence $\{(n,0,0)\}$ has no convergent subsequence. Suppose on the contrary that $\{(n_k,0,0)\}$ convergent (a,b,c) then we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(0,1,0), (0,0,1), (n_k,0,0) - (a,b,c)\|_E = 0$

That is $|n_k - a| \rightarrow 0$ which is a contradiction.

Proposition (3.12):-

Every Cauchy sequence in an 3-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ is bounded.

Proof:-

Let $\{x_n\}$ be Cauchy sequence in an 3-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X, p=1,2,\dots$ Let z_1, z_2 be independent vectors in X .

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0, p=1,2,\dots$ Let $\varepsilon > 0$ then there exists $N > 0$ such that

$\|z_1, z_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| < \varepsilon$ for each $n \geq N, p = 1,2,\dots$ In particular,

$\|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_n\| < \varepsilon$ for each $n \geq N$. Let

$$r = \max \left\{ \varepsilon, \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_1\|, \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_2\|, \dots, \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_{N-1}\| \right\}$$

Therefore for all $n = 1,2,\dots, \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_n\| < r$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1, z_2, x_n\| &= \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_N + x_n\| \leq \|z_1, z_2, x_N\| + \|z_1, z_2, -(x_N - x_n)\| \\ &= \|z_1, z_2, x_N\| + \|z_1, z_2, x_N - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|z_1, z_2, x_N\| + r \end{aligned}$$

Replacing r by $r^* > r$. Then

$$\|z_1, z_2, x_n\| < \|z_1, z_2, x_N\| + r^* \text{ for each } n$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Proposition (3.13):-

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ an 3-normed space. A Cauchy sequence is convergent in an 3-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ if and only if it has a convergent subsequence

Proof:-

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|)$ which is also convergent in it. Then, every subsequence of it will be convergent in X by proposition (3.2).

For the converse, assume that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which converges to $x \in X$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\| = 0 \text{ for each } x_1, x_2 \in X. \text{ Since } \{x_{n_k}\}$$

is Cauchy sequence then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X, p=1,2,\dots$

Hence for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| &= \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x_{n_k} + x_{n_k} - x\| \\ &\leq \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x_{n_k}\| + \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\| = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

Definition (3.14):-

An 3-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_1$ on a linear space X is said to be equivalent to an 3-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_2$ on X (denoted by $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_2$) if there exist positive numbers a and b such that

$$a\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq b\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2, \text{ for each } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$$

Proposition (3.15):-

The relation \sim defined as above is an equivalence relation.

Proof:-

(1) The relation \sim is reflexive, since

$$1\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq 1\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

(2) To prove \sim symmetric, we assume that

$$a\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq b\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2$$

hold and we have to show that there exist two positive number c and d such that

$$c\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq d\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

Since $a\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$ and $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq b\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2$ then

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{a}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{b}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2$$

$$\text{Hence } \frac{1}{b}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{a}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

$$\text{Let } c = \frac{1}{b} \text{ and } d = \frac{1}{a} \text{ then } c\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_2 \leq d\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

(3) To prove \sim is transitive, we assume $a\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq b\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0$

$$\text{and } c\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq d\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

then we have to show there exist two positive number e and f such that

$$e\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq f\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

$$\text{Since } a\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \text{ and } c\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0$$

$$\text{then } \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{a}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \text{ and } c\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{a}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0$$

$$\text{Hence, } ac\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0$$

On the other hand,

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq b\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \text{ and } \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq d\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{1}{b}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{b}\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_0 \leq d\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\| \leq bd \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$$

Therefore, $ac \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\| \leq bd \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1$

Let $ac=e$ and $bd=f$

$$e \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\| \leq f \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_1.$$

4. Some Results in fuzzy 3-normed spaces:-

In this section we give some results in fuzzy 3-normed spaces. We start with the following theorem. This theorem shows that the limit of a convergent sequence in a fuzzy-3-normed space is unique. This theorem is used in [1] without proof, here we give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem (4.1):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim x_n = y$ then $x=y$.

Proof:-

For each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $s, t > 0$ one can have

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_1, x_2, x - y, s + t) &= N(x_1, x_2, x - x_n + x_n - y, s + t) \\ &\geq \min \{N(x_1, x_2, x - x_n, s), N(x_1, x_2, x_n - y, t)\} \\ &= \min \{N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, s), N(x_1, x_2, x_n - y, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$N(x_1, x_2, x - y, s + t) \geq \min \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, s), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - y, t) \right\} = 1$$

Hence, for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$N(x_1, x_2, x - y, s + t) = 1, \text{ for each } s, t > 0$$

Hence, one can get $x=y$.

Next, the following proposition illustrates that every subsequence of a convergent sequence converges in fuzzy 3-normed space.

Proposition (4.2):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space and $\lim x_n = x$. Then $\lim x_{n_k} = x$ for every subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Proof:-

Suppose $\lim x_n = x$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$.

Fixed $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$.

Hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t) = 1$. Therefore, for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t) = 1$$

Then, $\lim x_{n_k} = x$.

Proposition (4.3):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space and $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim y_n = y$. Then $\lim(\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x + \beta y, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof:-

Since $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim y_n = y$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, s) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, y_n - y, t) = 1$ for each

$x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $s, t > 0$

Hence, for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $s, t > 0$

$$N(x_1, x_2, (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) - (\alpha x + \beta y), s + t) = N(x_1, x_2, (\alpha x_n - \alpha x) + (\beta y_n - \beta y), s + t) \geq \min \{N(x_1, x_2, \alpha x_n - \alpha x, s), N(x_1, x_2, \beta y_n - \beta y, t)\}$$

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) - (\alpha x + \beta y), s + t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $s, t > 0$

Therefore, $\lim(\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x + \beta y$.

Next, in [9] proved that every convergent sequence is Cauchy sequence in special types of fuzzy 3-normed space. Here we prove the same result, but for the fuzzy 3-normed due to [1].

Theorem (4.4):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space, every convergent sequence is Cauchy sequence.

Proof:-

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$.

For $x_1, x_2 \in X, s, t > 0$ and $p=1,2,\dots$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, s + t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x + x - x_n, s + t) \geq \min \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x, s), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x - x_n, t) \right\}$$

By using proposition (4.2) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x, s) = 1$. Thus

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, s + t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X, s, t > 0$ and $p=1,2,\dots$. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, N) .

The question now arises: does every Cauchy sequence convergent in a fuzzy 3-normed linear space?. The following example gives an answer.

Example (4.5):-

Let X be a real linear space of finitely nonzero sequences. Let

$$N_f(x, y, z, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|x, y, z\|_S} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_S$ standard an 3-norm defined in example (3.5), then (X, N_f) is a fuzzy 3-normed linear space which has Cauchy sequence not converges.

Next, in [2] gave the definitions of closed subset, closure subset, bounded subset and compact subset in fuzzy 1-normed space. Here we give the same definitions, but for the fuzzy 3-normed space due to [1].

Definition (4.6):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be closed in case for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$, implies $x \in U$.

Definition (4.7):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space. A subset V of X is said to be the closure of a subset U of X in case for any $x \in V$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$. We denote the set V by \overline{U} .

Definition (4.8):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be bounded in case there exists independent two vectors z_1, z_2 in X , $t > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that $N(z_1, z_2, x, t) > 1 - r$, for each $x \in U$.

Definition (4.9):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space. A subset U of X is said to be compact in case every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U has subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that there exists $x \in U$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$.

Proposition (4.10):-

Every compact subset U of a fuzzy 3-normed space (X, N) is closed and bounded.

Proof:-

Suppose U is compact of a fuzzy 3-normed space (X, N) and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in U such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $t > 0$, since U is compact then there exists subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in U . Again $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim x_{n_k} = x$ by proposition (4.2) then $x \in U$. Then U is close. Now, we show that U is bounded. If U were not bounded, it would contain a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $N(z_1, z_2, y_n, n) \leq 1 - r_0$ for any fixed independent vectors z_1, z_2 and for any fixed r_0 where $0 < r_0 < 1$. Since U is compact, there exist a subsequence $\{y_{n_i}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ converging to element $y \in U$, therefore

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i} - y, t) = 1 \text{ for each } t > 0$$

$$\text{Also } N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i}, n_i) \leq 1 - r_0$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - r_0 &\geq N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i}, n_i) = N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i} - y + y, n_i - t + t) \text{ where } t > 0 \\
&\geq \min \{N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i} - y, t), N(z_1, z_2, y, n_i - t)\} \\
&\geq \min \{ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, y_{n_i} - y, t), \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, y, n_i - t) \}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $r_0 \leq 0$ which is a contradiction

Hence, U is bounded.

The following example shows that the converse of proposition (4.10) is not true.

Example (4.11):-

Let $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_E)$ be an 3-normed space. For each $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Define

$$N_f(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_E} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Let U be the set defined by $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid N_f((1,0,0), (0,1,0), x, 1) \geq 0.5\}$. It is easy to check $U = U''$ where $U'' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|(1,0,0), (0,1,0), x\|_E \leq 1\}$

Assume U is a compact set. Then each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$. Say

$x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$ where $x \in U$. Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_f(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\|_E} = 1$$

for each $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and for each $t > 0$. This implies that

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x\|_E = 0$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore U'' is a compact set which is a contradiction

for example (3.11)

Proposition (4.12):-

Every Cauchy sequence in a fuzzy 3-normed space (X, N) is bounded.

Proof:-

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in a fuzzy 3-normed space. Then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X, t > 0$ and $p=1,2,\dots$. Let z_1 and z_2 be independent

vectors in X. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, t) = 1$, for $p=1,2,\dots$ and $t > 0$. Choose a fixed $\alpha_0, 0 < \alpha_0 < 1$.

Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, t) = 1 > \alpha_0$. For $t' > 0$, There exists n_0 such that

$N(z_1, z_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, t') > \alpha_0$ for each $n \geq n_0, p=1,2,\dots$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(z_1, z_2, x, t) = 1$, there exist t_i such that $N(z_1, z_2, x_i, t_i) > \alpha_0$.

for each $t \geq t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$.

let $t_0 = t' + \max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n_0}\}$

Then $N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_0) > \alpha_0$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_0) &\geq N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t' + t_{n_0}) \\ &= N(z_1, z_2, x_n - x_{n_0} + x_{n_0}, t' + t_{n_0}) \\ &\geq \min\{N(z_1, z_2, x_n - x_{n_0}, t'), N(z_1, z_2, x_{n_0}, t_{n_0})\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_0) \geq \{\alpha_0, \alpha_0\} = \alpha_0$ for each $n \geq n_0$.

Also $N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_0) \geq N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_n) \geq \alpha_0$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$.

Hence, $N(z_1, z_2, x_n, t_0) \geq \alpha_0$ for each

Then there exist $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Next, in [9] proved that every Cauchy sequence is convergent sequence in special types of a fuzzy 3-normed space iff it has a convergent subsequence. Here we prove the same result, but for the fuzzy 3-normed due to [1].

Proposition (4.13):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space. A Cauchy sequence is convergent in a fuzzy 3-normed space (X, N) if and only if it has a convergent subsequence.

Proof:-

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, N) which is also convergent in it. Then, by using proposition (4.2) every subsequence of it will be convergent in X .

conversely, assume that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which converges to $x \in X$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t) = 1 \text{ for each } x_1, x_2 \in X \text{ and } t > 0. \text{ Since } \{x_n\}$$

is Cauchy sequence then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_{n+p} - x_n, s) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X, s > 0$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$

Hence for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, s + t) &= N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x_{n_k} + x_{n_k} - x, s + t) \\ &\geq \min\{N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x_{n_k}, s), N(x_1, x_2, x_{n_k} - x, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, s + t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $s > 0, t > 0$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

Definition (4.14):-

A fuzzy 3-norm N_1 on a linear space X is said to be equivalent to a fuzzy 3-norm N_2 on X (denoted by $N_1 \sim N_2$) if there exist positive numbers a and b such that

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t), \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition (4.15):-

The relation \sim defined as above is an equivalent relation.

Proof:-

(1) The relation \sim is reflexive, since

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, 1.x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, 1.x_3, t)$$

(2) To prove \sim is symmetric, we assuming that

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$$

holds and we have to show that there are two positive integer c and d such that

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, cx_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, dx_3, t)$$

we have $N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{t}{a}) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$$

putting $s = \frac{t}{a} \Rightarrow as = t$, we get $N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, as)$

$$= N_1(x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{a}x_3, s)$$

therefore

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{a}x_3, s) \dots \dots \dots (4.1)$$

Again, $N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$

$$= N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{t}{b})$$

putting $\frac{bt}{a}$ for t , we get $N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{bt}{a}) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{t}{a})$

or $N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, bs) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s)$

or $N_1(x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{b}x_3, s) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \dots \dots \dots (4.2)$

Combing ineq. (4.1) and ineq.(4.2) we get

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{b}x_3, s) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{a}x_3, s)$$

then $N_1(x_1, x_2, cx_3, s) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, dx_3, s)$

where $c = \frac{1}{b}$ and $d = \frac{1}{a}$

(3)To prove \sim transitive, let $N_0(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, cx_3, t) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, dx_3, t)$$

Then we have to show that there exist positive numbers e and f such that

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, ex_3, t) \leq N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, fx_3, t) \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Now } N_1(x_1, x_2, cx_3, t) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$$

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{t}{c}) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$$

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, ax_3, \frac{t}{c}) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t)$$

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, acx_3, t) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t)$$

$$\text{thus } N_1(x_1, x_2, acx_3, t) \leq N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_0(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$$

$$\text{Again } N_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, dx_3, t)$$

$$N_0(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, bdx_3, t)$$

$$\text{So } N_1(x_1, x_2, acx_3, t) \leq N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, bdx_3, t)$$

If we choose $ac = e$ and $bd = f$ then

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, ex_3, t) \leq N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, fx_3, t)$$

The following proposition shows the relation between convergent sequence in (X, N) and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition (4.16):-

Let (X, N) be a fuzzy 3-normed space satisfying the following conditions

(1) For each $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) > 0$ implies x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent

(2) For x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly independent, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ is a continuous of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and strictly increasing in the subset $\{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) < 1\}$ of \mathbb{R} .

and $\{x_n\}$ be sequence in X . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$ if

and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\|_\alpha = 0$, for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Proof:-

Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) = 1$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for each $t > 0$.

Choose $0 < \alpha < 1$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $t > 0$, Then exists K such that

$N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) > 1 - \alpha$, for all $n \geq K$. It follows that

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\|_{1-\alpha} \leq t, \text{ for each } n \geq K. \text{ Thus } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\|_{1-\alpha} = 0.$$

Conversely, choose $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\|_\alpha = 0$, for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

and $t > 0$. Then exists K such that

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_n - x\|_{1-\alpha} = \inf \{r : N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, r) \geq 1 - \alpha\} < t, \text{ for all } n \geq K$$

$N(x_1, x_2, x_n - x, t) \geq 1 - \alpha$, for all $n \geq K$. that is $x_n \rightarrow x$ in (X, N) .

Theorem (4.17):-

Let N_1 and N_2 be two a fuzzy 3-norms on a linear space X , satisfying the following conditions

(1) For each $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) > 0$ implies x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly dependent

(2) For x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly independent, $N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ is a continuous of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and strictly increasing in the subset $\{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) < 1\}$ of \mathbb{R} .

Then the two fuzzy 3-norm N_1 and N_2 are equivalent if and only if their corresponding α -3-norms are equivalent for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof:-

First we suppose that N_1 and N_2 are two equivalent fuzzy 3-norms in X . Thus there exist two positive constants a and b such that

$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha^1$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_\alpha^2$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ are the corresponding α -3-norms of N_1 and N_2 respectively. First we have that

$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

iff $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Suppose $N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ holds for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Now,

$\|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2 < t$, then, $\inf \{s : N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, s) \geq \alpha\} < t$

$\exists s_0 < t$ such that $N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, s_0) \geq \alpha$

$N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, s_0) \geq \alpha$, $s_0 < t$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq s_0 < t$

$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2$ (4.3)

Next, we suppose that $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2$ holds for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now

$r < N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t)$

$r < \sup \left\{ \alpha \in (0, 1) \mid \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq t \right\}$

$\exists \alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r < \alpha_0$ and $\|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_{\alpha_0}^2 \leq t$

$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_{\alpha_0}^1 \leq t$

$r < N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$

So, $N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ (4.4)

From (4.3) and (4.4), it follows that

$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

iff $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

In similarly way we can verify that

$N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

iff $\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Suppose $N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ holds for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Now,

$$\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 < t, \text{ then, } \inf \{s : N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, s) \geq \alpha\} < t$$

$$\exists s_0 < t \text{ such that } N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, s_0) \geq \alpha$$

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, s_0) \geq \alpha, s_0 < t \text{ and } \alpha \in (0,1)$$

$$\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq s_0 < t$$

$$\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \dots \dots \dots (4.5)$$

Next, we suppose that $\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1$ holds for each $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Now $r < N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$, then, $r < \text{Sup} \left\{ \alpha \in (0,1) \mid \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq t \right\}$

$$\exists \alpha_0 \in (0,1) \text{ such that } r < \alpha_0 \text{ and } \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_{\alpha_0}^1 \leq t$$

$$\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_{\alpha_0}^2 \leq t$$

$$r < N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t)$$

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t) \dots \dots \dots (4.6)$$

From (4.5) and (4.6), it follows that

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

iff $\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

By combining the above results we have

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, ax_3, t) \leq N_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \leq N_2(x_1, x_2, bx_3, t) \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

if and only if $\|x_1, x_2, bx_3\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, x_3\|_\alpha^1 \leq \|x_1, x_2, ax_3\|_\alpha^2$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$

References:-

[1] A.Narayanan and S.Vijayabalaji, "Fuzzy n-normed linear space", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, No.24,PP3963-3977,2005.

[2] Bag T. and Samanta S., "Finite Dimensional Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces", J. Fuzzy Math., Vol.11, PP. 687-705, 2003.

[3] Felbin C., "Finite Dimensional Fuzzy Normed Linear Space", FSS, Vol. 48, PP. 239-248, 1992.

[4] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, "On n-normed spaces", Int. J. Math.Sci.Vol.27, PP.631- 639,2001.

[5] Jin-Xuan F. and Jun-Hua L. , "Fuzzy Norm of a Linear Operator and Space of Fuzzy Bounded Linear Operator", J. Fuzzy, Math. Vol. 7, PP. 755-764, 1999.

[6] L. Fatemeh and N. Kourosh, "Compact operators defined on 2-Normed and 2-probabilistic Normed spaces", Hindaw Publishing Corporation Mathematical in Engineering, Vol.2009, Article ID 950234, 17 pages, 2009.

[7] Morsi N., "On Fuzzy Pseudo-Normed Vector Spaces", FSS, Vol. 27, PP. 351-372, 1988.

[8] S.Gahler, "Lineare 2-normierte Raume", Mathematische Nachrichten, Vol.28,PP.1-43, 1964.

[9] S.Vijayabalaji and N.Thillaigovindan, "Complete Fuzzy n-normed linear space", Journal of Fundamental Sciences, Vol.3, PP.119-126,2007.

[10] S.Vijayabalaji and N.Thillaigovindan, " Fuzzy n-inner product space", Bull. Kerean Math. Soc., Vol. 43, PP. 447-459, 2007.

[11] Xiao J. and Zhu X., "Fuzzy Normed Space of Operators and its Completeness", FSS, Vol. 133, PP. 389-399, 2003.