

Research Article

Depression in Patients with End Stage Renal Disease Attending Hemodialysis at Imam Al-Hussein Medical City, Karbala

Noorgul B. Mousa¹, Salam S. Obaid², Mohammed R. Warwar³, Ali A. Abutiheen³

¹Karbala Health Directorate, Karbala, Iraq

²Consultant in Family Medicine, Karbala Health Directorate, Karbala, Iraq

³Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Kerbala, Karbala, Iraq.

Article information:

Received: 17-07-2025

Accepted: 21-08-2025

Correspondence: Noorgul B. Mousa

Email: goolnoor59@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.70863/karbalajm.v18i2.4936>

Abstract

Background: Depression is a common psychological condition among patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on hemodialysis because of chronic dependence on the hemodialysis machine for life, but it is unrecognized and underestimated. The experience of multiple losses in kidney function, family role, time, money, and work, in addition to the fear of death, all of which negatively affect the patient's mood and life. This study aims to determine the prevalence of depression among patients with ESRD on hemodialysis and factors associated with it.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was done in the hemodialysis unit in Imam AL Hussein Medical City in Karbala from 1st April 2024 to 30th June 2024 involving patients with ESRD on hemodialysis. Samples were collected consecutively from patients above 18 years old through direct interview using a semi-structured questionnaire based on the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) scale.

Results: Three hundred hemodialyzed patients were interviewed, among whom 59.3% were males and the remainder were females. The mean age of participants was 51.59 years. The prevalence of depression was 67%. A significant association was found between divorced, widowed, or not working patients and depression. Regarding PHQ-9, feeling of sadness was the most common symptom among participants at 82%.

Conclusions: Nearly two-thirds of patients with ESRD undergoing hemodialysis experienced depression, which was significantly associated with widowhood, divorce, and unemployment. Regular depression screening every 6–12 months is recommended, and future longitudinal, multi-center studies are warranted to clarify the predictors and outcomes of depression in this population.

Keywords: Depression, End-stage renal diseases, Haemodialysis, PHQ-9, chronic kidney diseases

Introduction

Depression is a common mental health disorder that can affect anyone, especially individuals exposed to abuse, loss, or stressful life events. It impacts all aspects of life, including family, social, and community relationships, and can both result from and lead to trauma [1]. Depression is characterized by persistent sadness or a loss of interest lasting at least two weeks. It is often accompanied by fatigue, changes in appetite, sleep disturbances, poor concentration, feelings of worthlessness or hopelessness, and thoughts of self-harm or suicide. Globally, about 3.8% of the population, approximately 280 million people, are affected by depression, with over 700,000 deaths by suicide each year [1-2].

In Iraq, limited data exist, but one study estimated a prevalence of 7.4%, affecting around 475,000 individuals annually [3]. Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is defined as a progressive loss of kidney function lasting more than three months. Globally, end-stage renal disease affects up to 10% of the population and is projected to become the fifth leading cause of death by 2040 [4].

Over the last three decades, End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) incidence increased by 88%, prevalence by 87%, mortality by 98%, and disability-adjusted life years by 62%, mainly due to the rising rates of non-communicable diseases like diabetes and hypertension. In the Middle East, chronic kidney disease prevalence ranges from 4.9% to 12.2%, with a median of 8.2% [5-6]. The Iraqi Ministry of Health reported a 20% annual increase in chronic

kidney disease patients requiring hemodialysis (HD), which makes it now the sixth leading cause of death [7].

Depression is the most common psychological disorder among patients with ESRD undergoing hemodialysis, with prevalence rates between 20% and 90%, depending on the population and assessment tools used. In these patients, depression is up to three times more prevalent than in the general population. It is often triggered by the burden of chronic illnesses, frequent hospitalizations, failed transplants, insomnia, and persistent fatigue [4, 8-9]. Diagnosis of depression in patients with ESRD is difficult due to symptom overlap with uremia, including sleep disturbances, appetite changes, and fatigue. As a result, depression is often underdiagnosed and untreated, leading to poorer outcomes [10].

Studies have shown that depressive symptoms are associated with a faster decline in kidney function, more rapid progression to end-stage renal disease, and increased mortality. Additionally, depression contributes to an elevated cardiovascular risk, which is already the leading cause of death among dialysis patients. Hemodialysis imposes a substantial psychological and physical burden on both patients and their families, negatively affecting quality of life and increasing dependency, financial strain, and social isolation. Moreover, the side effects of medications, strict dietary restrictions, metabolic changes, and fear of death further exacerbate mental health challenges [11-14].

Several therapeutic interventions, including pharmacological treatment, cognitive-behavioral therapy, exercise programs, especially intradialytic exercise, relaxation techniques, spiritual and social support, and patient education, can significantly reduce depression levels. Implementing routine depression screening for end-stage renal disease patients is crucial to identify and address this common comorbidity early, reduce stigma, and promote better communication between patients and healthcare providers [15-16].

This study aims to determine the prevalence of depression among patients with ESRD on hemodialysis and its relationship with sociodemographic characteristics.

Materials and Methods

Study design and setting

An analytic cross-sectional study was done in a haemodialysis unit in Imam AL Hussein Medical City in Karbala Governorate in the center of Iraq involving patients with ESRD on HD. 300 samples

were selected in a consecutive way from this group of patients above 18 years old. The data collection was conducted from 1st April 2024 to 30th June 2024.

Sample collection

A total of 317 patients with chronic renal failure undergoing hemodialysis were invited to participate in the study. Seventeen patients declined participation from the outset, resulting in a final sample of 300 participants. This corresponds to a response rate of 95%, based on a total of 610 patients registered in the hemodialysis unit. Sample collection began after obtaining approval from the health institution where the study was conducted. Participants were recruited consecutively. The purpose and procedures of the study were thoroughly explained to each participant, who then provided verbal consent. They were also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any negative consequences.

Face-to-face interviews were conducted by the primary researcher. Some patients declined participation due to fatigue, advanced age, or a lack of interest. Patients in the hemodialysis unit were organized into various groups and scheduled on alternate days. The center operates morning, afternoon, and night shifts, while Fridays are reserved for emergency cases only. Each hemodialysis session lasts approximately four hours. The sample was obtained by attending the morning and afternoon shifts on two consecutive days each week. All patients present during those sessions were invited to participate, except those who refused.

Inclusion criteria

All patients with end-stage renal failure on hemodialysis above 18 years old were included in this study.

Exclusion criteria

Excluded patients were unstable or unconscious patients or tired patients, non-Iraqi patients, and patients who refused or did not have the desire to participate.

Data collection tools

Data were collected by direct interview. As some patients don't have basic reading and writing skills to ensure confidentiality and enhance reliability, the researcher collected data from patients.

A questionnaire was developed based on the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) Arabic version, after thorough review of the literature [17-18]. In addition to the research team, it was reviewed and evaluated by a consultant psychiatrist. The questionnaire composed of 25 questions divided into three parts: the first part composed of

nine questions about personal and sociodemographic data, which included age, sex, marital status, education level, occupational, economic level, current address, past medical history, and smoking status.

The second part questions covered end-stage renal disease and hemodialysis sessions, including the duration of the disease, the frequency of dialysis sessions per week, the duration of dialysis sessions, complications during or after the session, the method of dialysis, and presence of relatives or caregivers who came with patients to dialysis sessions (six questions).

The third part include the PHQ-9 depression screening questions. The patients were asked to rate how frequently they were bothered by specific problems in the preceding two weeks by selecting a response out of four options. The four alternative responses were assigned per question and scored from 0 to 3 (0 = not at all, 1 = several days, 2 = more than half of the days, and 3 = nearly every day). Accordingly, the total score ranged from 0 to 27, with higher scores representing more severe depression. The severity of depression was categorized based on the total score as none (0–4), mild (5–9), moderate (10–14), moderately severe (15–19), and severe (20–27) [16,18].

Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Arabic Board for Health Specialisation Council of Family Medicine in Baghdad. It was also approved by the training and human development center at the Research and Knowledge Management Division in the Karbala health directorate (Approval No. 495, dated February 13, 2024). Consent also was taken from the health institution where the study takes place, and a verbal consent was obtained from each patient participating in the study after explaining the study objectives clearly.

Statistical analysis

The data of the present study were entered and analyzed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 24 and Windows). The descriptive statistics were used in terms of frequency and percentage, and mean with standard deviation (mean \pm SD) in appropriate tables and graphs. A possible association between the two categorical variables was made through the chi-square test or the use of the Mann-Whitney test to compare the means between the two groups. Significance level was considered when $p < 0.05$.

Results

The study included 300 patients with chronic kidney disease, of whom 59.3% were male and the remainder were female. About one-fifth of the patients were under the age of 40, while 29.3% were aged 60 and above. The results showed that more than one-third of the patients reported having a low income, whereas only 11.3% reported a high income. Approximately one-quarter of the patients were employed (Table 1). Regarding the chronic diseases among study participants, hypertension and diabetes were the two main diseases reported (Figure 1). More than half of the study participants reported a duration of illness equal to or more than three years, and the frequency of dialysis was reported as 3-4 times of dialysis sessions per week by 60% of the study participants. Hours of dialysis were reported to be four hours per session in 61.3% of patients (Table 2). While about one quarter of the participants had no complications associated with the HD session, the highest proportion of complications was hypotension (23%), followed by hypertension and pain and muscle spasm (Figure 2).

Regarding responses to questions assessing depression severity among study participants, the results showed that more than half of the patients usually or always reported a loss of interest or pleasure in doing things and feeling sad or depressed (Table 3). As for the prevalence of depression among patients with CKD, 201 (67%) of the total study population showed mild to severe depression (Figure 3).

Regarding the association of socio-demographic characteristics with depression severity, the analysis showed a statistically significant association between marital status and depression severity ($p=0.011$) (Table 4). Analysis of data concluded that non-workers had a significantly higher prevalence of depression than that of workers ($p=0.006$) (Table 4). The association of depression and dialysis activities among study participants revealed no significant statistical association (Table 5).

Discussion

Depression among patients with ESRD on hemodialysis is a major challenge. In Iraq, as in other conservative Arab countries, cultural factors likely contribute to underdiagnosis and undertreatment. Probably, many individuals reject referrals to a psychiatrist, viewing it as a mental issue and a source of stigma in the community.

Therefore, continuous assessment and psychological support are essential.

The study showed that more than two-thirds of patients with ESRD on HD have depression, and more than a quarter of those patients have moderately severe to severe depression. This high prevalence (67%) may be slightly overestimated due to overlapping uremic symptoms, such as fatigue and sleep disturbance; the influence of PHQ-9 responses; the transient mood of the patient; and the effect of other comorbidities on the psychological aspect of patients. But despite that, the study is close to two studies done in Mosul and Al Diwaniyah cities in Iraq at 69 and 63%, respectively [9, 19], and to another two studies in Iran and Sudan with 65.8% and 68% respectively [20,21]. While this prevalence of depression is higher than studies done in Jordan, Egypt, China, Saudi Arabia, and Morocco, with 58%, 55%, 55%, 24%, and 22%, respectively [22-26]. And lower than results from studies done in Palestine 73% and Nepal (84%) [27, 28].

Depression was significantly associated with being divorced or widowed, consistent with a study conducted in Al-Diwaniyah city in Iraq, which showed that the prevalence of depression is higher among divorced and widowed patients [19]. A meta-analysis study reported similar results that depression is higher in single and higher in no longer married (divorced or widowed) [29]. In line with this, a Jordanian study reported that depression was more common among single participants [22]. This goes with the fact that being married and living with family have a positive

association with mental as well as general well-being [29]. Though the high prevalence of depression in divorced or widowed people might be affected by the low percentage of divorced and widowed people, it is in parallel with the population demography of Iraq. But, it may be explained that those patients lose social and emotional supports in life that are provided to other patients by their partners, and they also endure the responsibility of their family and children alone. In spite of this, divorce and widowhood are stressful and traumatic life events that lower psychological well-being [4, 29].

Significant association was reported between depression and occupational status of participants, and the prevalence of depression in non-workers is higher than in worker participants. and this study agrees with studies done in Yemen [17] and Egypt [13]. While other studies done in Saudi Arabia [25] and China [24] showed no significant association with working status. The high prevalence of depression in non-working individuals may be due to prolonged unemployment, which increases exposure to stress, social isolation, and the loss of work-related relationships and support. In addition, non-workers often experience economic difficulties and decreased self-esteem.

Female patients and those with lower educational levels showed a slightly higher prevalence of depression, but this was not statistically significant. Same finding for the duration of the disease. While other studies reported significant association with female sex, lower educational level, and longer duration [4, 8, 29].

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics among study participants, N=300

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (%)
Age (years)	Below 40	61 (20.3)
	40-59	151 (50.4)
	60 and above	88 (29.3)
	Mean \pm SD	51.59 \pm 12.94
Sex	Male	178 (59.3)
	Female	122 (40.7)
Education	Illiterate	62 (20.7)
	Primary/ read and write	144 (48.0)
	Secondary	63 (21.0)
	College and higher	31 (10.3)
Residence	Urban	218 (72.7)
	Rural	82 (27.3)
Marital status	Married	239 (79.7)
	Single	25 (8.3)
	Divorced/ widow	36 (12.0)
Economic Level	Low	103 (34.3)
	Medium	163 (54.4)
	High	34 (11.3)
Occupation	Not working	226 (75.3)
	Working	74 (24.7)
Smoking	Yes	89 (29.7)
	No	211 (70.3)




Figure 1: Proportions of history of chronic diseases among study patients




Figure 2: Proportions of complications during, before or after dialysis among study patients.

Table 2: Frequencies and proportions of answers to questions about chronic kidney diseases among study participants, N=300

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (%)
Duration of illness (years)	< 3 years	139 (46.3)
	≥ 3 years	161 (53.7)
	Mean± SD	3.19 ± 2.24
Frequency of dialysis/ week	1-2 times	120 (40)
	3-4 times	180 (60)
Duration of dialysis session (hours)	3 hours	116 (38.7)
	4 hours	184 (61.3)
Patients attending dialysis	Alone	75 (25)
	With relative	225 (75)

Regarding the PHQ-9 scale, the most common symptom in this study was a feeling of sadness, 82.3 % but a study done in Saudi Arabia revealed loss of energy was the most common symptom [30], and feeling of fatigue was the most common symptom in a study done in Nepal [28]. Regarding associated chronic diseases, results showed that hy-

pertension and diabetes were the two most common conditions among participants, consistent with the findings of a study conducted in Yemen [17] that reported the same finding.

Study Limitations

This study has several limitations, as a cross-sectional design prevents establishing causality be-

tween depression and related factors. Being a single-center study limits the generalizability of results. Further, the use of self-reported PHQ-9 may be affected by overlapping uremic symptoms and transient moods, possibly inflating depression rates. Furthermore, only bivariate analyses were conducted without controlling for confounders like comorbidities and the small subgroup sizes, which might have limited statistical power for some comparisons.

Conclusions

Approximately two-thirds of patients with ESRD undergoing hemodialysis in this study experienced

depression, ranging from mild to severe. Depression was significantly associated with being widowed, divorced, or unemployed. However, given the cross-sectional design, potential measurement biases, overlapping symptoms of depression with those of ESRD, and the influence of other comorbidities. The reported high prevalence of depression needs to be thoughtfully weighed. We recommend periodic screening for depression every 6 to 12 months for all patients on hemodialysis to allow early detection and appropriate management. Furthermore, future longitudinal, multicenter studies are needed to better understand the predictors, course, and impact of depression in this vulnerable population.

Table 3: Frequencies and proportions of answers to questions regarding assessment of depression severity among study participants.

Questions		Never	Sometimes	Usually	Always
1	Little interest or pleasure in doing things	65 (21.7)	67 (22.3)	94 (31.3)	74 (24.7)
2	Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	53 (17.7)	75 (25)	91 (30.3)	81 (27)
3	Difficulty falling or remaining asleep, or sleeping a lot	85 (28.3)	76 (25.3)	98 (32.7)	41 (13.7)
4	Feeling tired or having little energy	103 (34.3)	97 (32.3)	73 (24.3)	27 (9)
5	Poor appetite or overeating	112 (37.3)	118 (39.3)	56 (18.7)	14 (4.7)
6	Feeling bad about yourself, or that you are a failure, or have let yourself or your family down	104 (34.7)	100 (33.3)	71 (23.7)	25 (8.3)
7	Trouble focusing on tasks such as reading the newspaper or watching television.	178 (59.3)	97 (32.3)	23 (7.7)	2 (0.7)
8	Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed? Or the opposite: being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual	134 (44.7)	98 (32.7)	58 (19.3)	10 (3.3)
9	Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way	156 (52)	93 (31)	50 (16.7)	1 (0.3)
10	To what extent have these issues interfered with your ability to work, manage household responsibilities, or maintain social relationships	No difficulty at all	Somewhat difficult	Very difficult	Insuperabledifficult
		2 (0.7)	96 (32)	137 (45.6)	65 (21.7)




Figure 3: Proportions of depression severity among study patients

Table 4: Association of socio-demographic characteristics and depression severity among study participants, N=300

Characteristics		Prevalence of depression		p-value
		None- minimal	Mild to severe	
Sex	Male	65 (36.5%)	113 (63.5%)	0.134
	Female	34 (27.9%)	88 (72.1%)	
Age (years)	Mean ± SD	50.66 ±11.67	52.05 ±13.53	0.390
Education	Illiterate	24 (38.7%)	38 (61.3%)	0.224
	Primary	41 (28.5%)	103 (71.5%)	
	Secondary	20 (31.7%)	43 (68.3%)	
	College and higher	14 (45.2%)	17 (54.8%)	
Residence	Urban	72 (33%)	146 (67%)	0.987
	Rural	27 (32.9%)	55 (67.1%)	
Marital status	Married	85 (35.6%)	154 (64.4%)	0.011*
	Single	10 (40%)	15 (60%)	
	Divorced/ widow	4 (11.1%)	32 (88.9%)	
Economic level	Low	28 (27.2%)	75 (72.8%)	0.288
	Medium	58 (35.6%)	105 (64.4%)	
	High	13 (38.2%)	21 (61.8%)	
Occupation	Not work	65 (28.8%)	161 (71.2%)	0.006*
	Work	34 (45.9%)	40 (54.1%)	
Smoking	Yes	27 (30.3%)	62 (69.7%)	0.524
	No	72 (34.1%)	139 (65.9%)	

* Significant P-value of less than 0.05. Chi-square test or Mann-Whitney test was used as appropriate.

Table 5: Association of depression severity and dialysis among study participants, N=300.

Variables		Prevalence of depression		p-value
		None- minimal	Mild to severe	
Duration of illness (years)	Mean± SD	2.99 ± 2.26	3.28 ± 2.24	0.190
Frequency of dialysis (per week)	2 times	43 (35.8)	77 (64.2)	0.394
	3 times	56 (31.1)	124 (68.9)	
Duration of dialysis (hours)	3 hours	40 (34.5)	76 (65.5)	0.665
	4 hours	59 (32.1)	125 (67.9)	
The patient attends dialysis	Alone	24 (32)	51 (68)	0.832
	With relative	75 (33.3)	150 (66.7)	

* Significant p-value of less than 0.05. Chi-square test or Mann-Whitney test was used as appropriate.

Funding: There is no funding for this research

Conflict of interest: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest

Author contributions: Conceptualization, N.B.M., S.S.A., and A.A.A.; Methodology and questionnaire design, N.B.M., S.S.A., and A.A.A.; Data collection, N.B.M.; Formal analysis and investigation, N.B.M., and A.B.M.; Writing, N.B.M.; Resource, N.B.M.; Supervision, S.S.A., and M.R.W.; Reviewing final manuscript, N.B.M., S.S.A., A.A.A.

References

- World Health Organization. Fact sheets/ Depressive disorder (depression). Last updated 31 March 2023. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression> .
- Howard DM, Folkersen L, Coleman JR, Adams MJ, Glanville K, Werge T, et al. Genetic stratification of depression in UK Biobank. *Translational psychiatry*. 2020;10(1):1–8.
- AlHamzawi AO, Bruffaerts R, Bromet EJ, AlKhafaji AM, Kessler RC. The epidemiology of major depressive episode in the Iraqi general population. *PloS one*. 2015;10(7):e0131937.
- Gadia P, Awasthi A, Jain S, Koolwal, Ghanshyam D. Depression and anxiety in patients of chronic kidney disease undergoing haemodialysis: A study from western Rajasthan. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 2020;9(8):4282–6.
- Bagasha P, Namukwaya E, Leng M, Kalyesubula R, Mutebi E, Naitala R, et al. Comparison of the health related quality of life of end stage kidney disease patients on hemodialysis and nonhemodialysis management in Uganda. *BMC Palliative Care*. 2021;20:1–13.
- International Society of Nephrology. *Global Kidney Health Atlas. Regional Slides Presentations/Middle east*.

- https://www.theisn.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/ISN-GKHA-2023_Narrative_Script_Middle-East_v0.1.pdf.
7. Ministry of health of Iraq / Annual Statistical report 2023. September 2024. <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1EF5SLmrr6YLhQCvQyFAEUiXuJpFynPc>
 8. Gebrie MH, Ford J. Depressive symptoms and dietary non-adherence among end stage renal disease patients undergoing hemodialysis therapy: systematic review. *BMC Nephrology*. 2019 Dec;20(1):1-7.
 9. Suleiman AA, Abed SM, Ahmed SS. Assessment the Levels of depression among patients with hemodialysis at the dialysis centers in Mosul City. *Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*. 2023;17(08):67-7.
 10. Tuna Ö, Balaban ÖD, Mutlu C, Şahmelikoğlu Ö, Bali M, Ermis C. Depression and cognitive distortions in hemodialysis patients with end stage renal disease: a casecontrol study. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*. 2021;35(4):242-50.
 11. Pu L, Zou Y, Wu S, Wang F, Zhang Y, Li G, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of depressive symptoms among chronic kidney disease patients in China: Results from the Chinese Cohort Study of Chronic Kidney Disease (CSTRIDE). *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2020;128:109869.
 12. Avdal EU, Ayvaz İ, Uran BN, Yildirim JG, Sofulu F, Pamuk G. Opinions of hemodialysis and peritoneum patients regarding depression and psychological problems which they experience: A qualitative study. *Journal of infection and public health*. 2020 1;13(12):1988-92.
 13. Elhadad AA, Ragab AZ, Atia SA. Psychiatric comorbidity and quality of life in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *Middle East Current Psychiatry*. 2020;27:1-8.
 14. Butt MD, Ong SC, Butt FZ, Sajjad A, Rasool MF, Imran I, et al. Assessment of healthrelated quality of life, medication adherence, and prevalence of depression in kidney failure patients. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2022;19(22):15266.
 15. Gerogianni G, Babatsikou F. Chronic kidney disease and hemodialysis: epidemiological characteristics and psychological disorders. *Perioperative NursingQuarterly scientific*. 2019; 8(2):111-7.
 16. Gregg LP, Trombello JM, McAdams M, Hedayati SS. Diagnosis and management of depression in patients with kidney disease. *In Seminars in nephrology*. 2021 ; 41(6):505-515.
 17. Alkubati SA, Al-Sayaghi KM, Salameh B, Halboup AM, Ahmed WA, J. Alkuwaisi M, Zoromba MA. Prevalence of depression and its associated factors among hemodialysis patients in Hodeida City, Yemen. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*. 2024:689-99.
 18. Qawaqzeh DT, Masa'deh R, Hamaideh SH, Alkhalwaldeh A, ALBashtawy M. Factors affecting the levels of anxiety and depression among patients with end-stage renal disease undergoing hemodialysis. *International Urology and Nephrology*. 2023;55(11):2887-96.
 19. Al. Khafaji AM, Hassan AR, Fadhil RA. Depression in hemodialysis patients at Al-diwaneya province; *Advance research journal of multidisciplinary discoveries*. 2017; 17(1):30-35.
 20. Zahedian F, Rahimi P, Sarichloo ME, Ghafelehbash SH. Depression, anxiety and suicidal ideation among patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing hemodialysis in Qazvin, Iran. *The Journal of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences*. 2020;23(6):550-61.
 21. Elkheir HK, Wagaella AS, Badi S, Khalil A, Elzubair TH, Khalil A, Ahmed MH. Prevalence and risk factors of depressive symptoms among dialysis patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in Khartoum, Sudan: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 2020;9(7):3639-43.
 22. Alshelleh S, Alhourri A, Taifour A, AbuHussein B, Alwreikat F, Abdelghani M, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety with their effect on quality of life in chronic kidney disease patients. *Scientific Reports*. 2022;12(1):17627.
 23. Nagy E, Tharwat S, Elsayed AM, Shabaka, Shima Abd ElGaleel, Nassar MK. Anxiety and depression in maintenance hemodialysis patients: prevalence and their effects on healthrelated quality of life. *International Urology and Nephrology*. 2023;55(11):2905-14.
 24. Meng Y, Wu H, Niu J, Zhang Y, Qin H, Huang L, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety and their predictors among patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis in Northern China: a cross-sectional study. *Renal Failure*. 2022;44(1):933-44.
 25. Mosleh H, Alenezi M, Al Johani S, Alsani A, Fairaq G, Bedaiwi R. Prevalence and factors of anxiety and depression in chronic kidney disease patients undergoing hemodialysis: A cross-sectional single-center study in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*. 2020;12(1):e6668.
 26. Alaoui AC, Omari M, Quarmich N, Kouiri O, Chouhani, Basmat Amal, Berraho M, et al. Prevalence and determinant factors of depression and anxiety in people with chronic kidney disease: a Moroccan cross-sectional study. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. 2024;48(15).
 27. AlJabi, SW, Sous A, Jorf F, Taqatqa M, Allan M, Sawalha L, et al. Depression among endstage renal disease patients undergoing hemodialysis: a cross sectional study from Palestine. *Renal Replacement Therapy*. 2021;7:1-11.
 28. Khadka S, Adhikari R, Paudel T. Depression among chronic kidney diseases patients receiving hemodialysis. *Journal of Karnali Academy of Health Sciences*. 2020;3(2):73-9.
 29. Buckman JEJ, Saunders R, Stott J, Arundell LL., O'Driscoll C, Davies MR, et al. Role of age, gender and marital status in prognosis for adults with depression: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*. 2021;30:e42.
 30. Sallam L, Alsharif F, Abaalalaa S, Alakeely R, Abdullah Z, Alkhamis Z, et al. Prevalence of depression in patients with endstage renal disease undergoing hemodialysis in Saudi Arabia: A cross sectional study. *Belitung Nursing Journal*. 2022;8(4):296.